

Spearhead

£1.50


No. 429

NOVEMBER 2004

ISSN 1364-7105



GUN CRIME IN THE CITIES: THE FACTOR THEY DON'T WANT TO TALK ABOUT



***Our comment
on page 2***

WHAT WE THINK

Gun crime: the elephant in the drawing room

With the casual killing of 14-year-old Danielle Beccan (pictured on front page, top left) as she walked home from a fair in Nottingham, the city has been labelled the gun-crime capital of the United Kingdom, leading to no end of soul-searching by the chattering classes over what could have happened to this once-peaceful and law-abiding place to earn it such an unenviable reputation.

But if things are worse – proportionately – in Nottingham than anywhere else, other cities such as Birmingham, Manchester and London are not far behind. We are seeing enacted in our sceptred isle regular scenes that could have come straight out of the most violent Hollywood gangster movies. Just what is going on? Well, is there really any mystery?

It is like the proverbial elephant in the drawing room. Everyone is aware it's there but no one wants to talk about it. Gun crime,

Nationalist comment

including murders, has reached unprecedented levels since Britain became a multi-racial society. Jamaican Yardie gangs are the biggest culprits; but Asian drug-dealers are known to have their turf-wars, particularly in some Yorkshire towns, which not infrequently are settled by shooting incidents, with rivals being 'taken out'.

Just occasionally, a journalist is seized by a rare fit of honesty over these matters. Jeremy Clarkson, writing in *The Sun* on the 16th October, commented:-

"Thanks to all our politicians and everybody on Channel Four news, it's now generally accepted that multi-racialism is a good thing for Britain. Really? Multi-racialism has brought us the current spate of drive-by shootings. It's multi-racialism that this week alone has seen a 14-year-old girl killed and a toddler hospitalised with gunshot wounds because her dad supposedly 'dissed' someone in a bar. "Telling Jamaican Yardies that we welcome their culture, in all its forms, is as daft as telling the French they can come here and drive on the right."

To which Mr. Clarkson is due our thanks for saying what very few of his colleagues would dare to say. We wait now to see whether he gets fired or is forced to atone by writing some future article in which he eulogises a black athlete or Indian businessman.

Such is life in UK 2004!

Big yawn for Britain

Will Bush win? Or will it be Kerry? Once again, the British public is being treated to one of the biggest yawns in the calendar. An



BUSH OR KERRY: WHO CARES?

American presidential election is taking place and, as usual, the affair is getting grossly excessive coverage on our TV screens at news time. One wonders what is the purpose. Is the matter of who becomes Israel's puppet in the White House really of such importance to this country? If it is, it shouldn't be. The United States is, at the end of the day, a foreign country with interests of its own that are not always synonymous with our interests. Britain's purpose should be to stand on her own feet and concentrate on her own affairs. We are not going to survive or go under in accordance with who comes first in a staged US mass-popularity contest.

Or maybe the idea is just to keep us entertained so that our attention will be diverted from problems much nearer home. This is a regular practice of broadcasters and journalists. In fact, these elections have become like sport, in which we follow the contestants according to our fancy. Does one better like George's smile or John's? At the level politics have reached in today's 'democracies' these seem to be the biggest questions.

But in the end, it won't make tuppence worth of difference which man wins, even to Americans. The two occupy the same positions on all the really important issues: Iraq, Israel, immigration, global free trade. So what does it matter? The whole thing is no more than a charade, put on for the entertainment of zombies.

Should we need foreign doctors?

A familiar cry of the liberal establishment is that the huge flood of foreign doctors coming into this country is essential to the upkeep of our health services: we simply couldn't do without them.

Those who swallow this line should read a report by Michael Day in *The Sunday Telegraph* on October 17th. This was headed 'Rejected in the UK, medical students head for the sun'. It went on to state that:-

"Hundreds of aspiring doctors who are denied places in British medical schools are travelling to the West Indies and

Spearhead

No. 429 NOVEMBER 2004
PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT
Editor: John Tyndall

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken as representing the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are of around 1,000 to 1,850 words. *Spearhead* is currently produced using Serif PagePlus 6 software. Articles sent on 3.5" computer disk will be welcome if compatible. To ensure acceptance, however, they should also be sent in printed or typewritten format. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or in any other way amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles in other publications should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.

Eastern Europe to study medicine.

"Despite Britain's shortage of doctors, thousands of students with excellent A-levels, or even relevant science degrees, are unable to gain admission to medical degree courses in Britain because of a lack of places. Some, determined to qualify as doctors, are responding by paying college fees of up to £18,000 a year to obtain a medical degree abroad."

Is this just a matter of incompetence and muddle on the part of our rulers? Or is it one of high policy? Discuss.

Buying foreign again!

Last month it emerged that one of the British Army's biggest defence contracts for decades, a £1.8 billion order to replace all of its trucks, was to go to the German MAN company – whose vehicles are already familiar on our roads.

The other bidder for the contract was an Anglo-American consortium, led by US truck-builders Stewart & Stevenson, which includes three British-based firms, LDV, Multidrive and Lex. As stated in a report on this development in *The Sunday Telegraph* on October 17th, "A first consequence of the Ministry of Defence's decision, therefore, is that thousands of jobs which would have been created in Britain will go instead to Germany."

Apparently, the issue was decided by the American parent company, which owns the said British subsidiaries, being prevented by a vote of Congress from supplying certain high-tech items to the latter.

This all goes to show that allowing British manufacturers of vital defence equipment to be in any way foreign-owned, whether or not their factories are located here, places us at the mercy of others in matters essential to our national security. All British military equipment should be obtained from British suppliers under British ownership. Otherwise we have no national defence in any meaningful sense of the term.

As for the news that our future heavy military road transport will be provided by German makers, it prompts the question of who really won World War II!

BNP and the Civil Service: Mr. Cohen passes judgement

Nick Cohen, writing in *The Observer* on the 26th September, seems to think that the Government would be correct in banning British National Party members from civil service jobs. Here is what he has to say on the subject:-

"With so many dark currents swirling, it's possible to sympathise with the Home Office's suggestion that far-right supporters should be banned from being civil servants. You can hardly expect a fascist

to treat black and brown Britons fairly, and when he is given the coercive powers of a police, prison or immigration officer the results will be violent assaults or miscarriages of justice. The far right likes nothing better than to dress up in uniforms and exploit the benefit of the doubt given to law-enforcement officers. A blanket ban seems a sensible precaution."

Leaving aside for the moment the question of whether there are such people as black or brown 'Britons', Mr. Cohen's comments are utter balderdash. BNP members, apart from a tiny few, have always held to the principle that members of ethnic minorities, as long as they are here, should be treated the same under the law as anyone else. And if anyone among the mentioned few acted contrary to this principle and discriminated against an ethnic minority member, whether as police or prison officer or otherwise – least of all acted violently against such a person – there are ample rules governing these occupations, apart from the law itself, to ensure they were brought to book.

Would it be a silly question to ask just why Mr. Cohen is so biased on such matters that he resorts to misleading drivel to make his point?

Protecting 'democracy'!

Bruno Gollnisch, deputy to Jean-Marie Le Pen in France's *Front National*, is in hot water. Answering claims concerning the 'Holocaust' at a press conference recently, he ventured to say:-

"There is not a serious historian alive today who adheres completely to the conclusions of the Nuremberg trials. I do not call into question the existence of the concentration camps, but as to the number of dead, historians can still have something to argue about. As to the existence of the gas chambers, that is up to the historians to determine."

Now doesn't this strike you, as it strikes us, as a perfectly reasonable and indeed moderate response to such questions? Mr. Gollnisch didn't say things happened or that they didn't happen. Least of all did he justify atrocities if they occurred. Just how anyone could take offence to such statements is beyond belief.

But offence was indeed taken – and how! *The Scotsman* of the 14th October reported: "A leading anti-racist organisation, LICRA, said it had asked it had asked the president of the European Parliament to sanction the National Front deputy." And also: "Demands were made for Mr. Gollnisch to be suspended from his professorship at a university in Lyons."

The *Scotsman* report went on to say that Mr. Gollnisch, a professor of languages and

Japanese civilisation, made his comments as he was reacting to the published findings of an investigation into alleged 'extreme right-wing' activities at the University of Lyons III, where he teaches, and that...

"A report by the investigating commission, headed by the Jewish historian Henry Rousso, found 'it was incontestable that the founders of Lyons III have more than just tolerated the expression of extreme-right ideas.'"

"Yesterday [October 13th], the president of Lyons III, Guy Lavorel, said he had asked the education minister François Fillon, to suspend Mr. Gollnisch from his post following his comments."

Investigation? 'Tolerated' the expression of extreme-right ideas? Where the hell is this? Soviet Russia in the 1930s? Or France itself in 1793, when the tumbrils were rolling? No, 'free' France in this year of 2004! Are we pulling your legs? No, we're not. Get the copy of *The Scotsman* and find out. This actually happened. What next for Mr. Gollnisch? The guillotine perhaps? Good to know that democracy is alive and well!

Who's the thought criminal?

An amusing little story to end with. According to James Naughtie, writing in the *New Statesman* of the 8th October, Lord Saatchi, after he had addressed the Tory conference in Bournemouth, overheard "a junior front-bencher" carrying on about the kind of people leading the party and bemoaning the fact that "Saatchi, Michael Howard and Oliver Letwin were in charge: could they know how Englishmen felt? Say no more. We know what they have in common."

Later, Naughtie was asked to name the guilty man. He declined. 'Mandrake', writing about the episode in *The Sunday Telegraph* on the 10th October, said he was inviting the "junior front-bencher" to have the courage of his anti-Semitic convictions and make himself known.

Some hopes! The fellow quite obviously has a political career to think about. He's probably already ruing the fact that he didn't button his mouth up.

The whole affair opens up some fascinating possibilities. A criminal offence against the canons of political correctness has clearly been committed. As with the awful business in France involving Mr. Gollnisch, an investigation is clearly called for. Will Scotland Yard be brought in? Will the culprit eventually be brought to book for daring to suggest that a major British political party should be led by ethnic Britons? And if he is not, will we, a century on from the crime, still be arguing about his identity, as in numerous TV programmes about Jack the Ripper? You never know!

Blair sets out to 'buy' Parliament

FURY erupted last month when it was announced that peers sitting in the House of Lords are to get pay rises worth up to 20 per cent, including a new £100 a day Lords attendance allowance which they can claim even if they are away on official trips. They are also in line to be paid salaries for the first time ever.

The Blair Government has also confirmed a two per cent pay rise for MPs and Ministers this year, with two further inflation-linked hikes over the next two years.

The rises for MPs mean their pay, which currently stands at £57,485, is likely to top £60,000 within two years.


The increases have been revealed in a report by the Senior Salaries Review Body (SSRB) and rubber-stamped by the Cabinet in mid-October. The review of parliamentarians' pay has been carried out at the request of Prime Minister Tony Blair.

RAKE-OFF

The rises for the peers – now increasingly dependent on the favour of the Premier following his reforms of the Upper House – have caused the most outrage. They mean that the Lords members' daily rake-off will increase from £245.50 to £290.

And to qualify for this sum all a peer has to do is step into the chamber for a few minutes, make sure that his or her presence is recorded, then make a claim. Whether the peer stays any longer in the House is immaterial.

In addition to all this, peers are able to claim £65-a-day office costs allowance on



*THE PRIME MINISTER
He knows that the better
paid his minions are the
more subservient they are*

non-sitting days. Nice for those who can get it!

In addition to this, peers will be able to claim £100 a day for official trips. In a report on the pay rises in *The Mail on Sunday* on October 17th it was estimated that a peer who made ten such claims a year could walk away with a total of £47,000 a year tax-free!

In all this it must be remembered that virtually all peers, like Members of the Commons, have ample other sources of income. These new allowances are just icing on the cake.

In the same report it was stated that Lords' allowances have more than doubled since Blair became Prime Minister,

scrapped hereditary peers and appointed more than 100 Labour peers to replace them.

GRAVY TRAIN

In any organisation where pay rises were performance-related, our politicians would be suffering reductions in their incomes rather than increases. It is very obvious that these moves to raise their pay are quite cynically conceived so as to make them all the more dependent on the good offices of Tony himself. Blair is not ignorant of a rule that has operated throughout history. Rulers – and particularly tyrannical ones – know how to keep their followers sweet: they grant them generous payouts so that, should they ever think of getting rebellious, they remind themselves of where their daily bread is buttered and keep well in line. Anywhere else this is called corruption. But in politics of the Blair type it is business as normal!

The things they're saying

Mr. Bigley was in Iraq to take part in its reconstruction, a task much valued and encouraged by the Government. If it is too unsafe for such men to go there, then the country will never be rebuilt.

To that extent, Mr. Blair has a responsibility towards those, especially Britons, who are brave enough to undertake the work.

We should also remember that none of this would be happening if the invasion had not taken place. Mr. Blair is most certainly personally responsible for Britain's involvement in this war – and for the bad intelligence and botched planning which have led to the present bloody, dangerous mess.

LEADER ARTICLE
Daily Mail (10.10.04)

Mr. Bigley might not have read the last entries in Captain Scott's journals, but they have a resonance for him: "We took risks. We knew that we took them. Things have turned out against us. Therefore we have no cause for complaint." Captain Scott's mentality used to be the norm for chancers and adventurers. Now, after generations of peace and welfarism, and in a society where the blame and compensation cultures go hand in hand, our modern-day buccaneers seem determined to go about their activities not merely unprepared for the likely consequences, but indignant about them.

EDITORIAL
The Spectator (16.10.04)

"For all our personal efforts, backed more and more effectively by enlightened laws" (writes 'Ethnolept'), "we still have a long way to go before we attain genuine equality of opportunity in sport. Take the world of chess, a game played by large numbers of people of all ages and backgrounds. How many black chess grandmasters are there in Britain? How many top chess administrators and organisers are black?"

"I'll tell you. Hardly a single one, to my knowledge, even in areas with a large black population, such as parts of London. And what is our so-called Ministry of Sport trying to do about it? Very little, as far as I know. But until we end this blatant discrimination, we shall not attain a real multi-racial society or anything approaching one. In this respect there is nothing to choose between the polite racists of Middle England and the blatant racist thugs of the BNP."

PETER SIMPLE
The Daily Telegraph (15.10.04)

Things cannot only get better. Sometimes they can only get worse. The Tories are losing the angry Euro-sceptic vote in the shires to UKIP, as has happened recently in Dorset and Devon. And they are losing votes in the

EXTRACTS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

inner-city hell-holes to the Liberal Democrats. In the white-flight mill towns of North West England they are losing votes to the British National Party. Their failure to oppose the war against Iraq loses them still more votes, once again to the LibDems.

It is hard to see where new Tory votes might come from. Again the question: why would you vote Conservative? What agglomeration of ideas, what picture, forms in your mind when you consider putting your cross beside the party of Michael Howard – or in three years' time David Davis?

They have been so cowed by defeat that the Tories have lost their purpose. They remain locked in early Spring 1983. Tears for fears indeed.

ROD LIDDLE
The Sunday Times (3.10.04)

The Tory Party is now a train wreck, not a train, an obstacle to the cause it pretends to serve. The reasons are both mathematical and political. Only an unimaginable miracle could give it a parliamentary majority ever again. If it achieved such a position, it would in any case fail to pursue conservative aims in foreign, economic, social or cultural policy. It cannot agree on any such aims because its different wings hate and despise each other. Ice and steam can compromise, it is true, but the result is just a tepid wetness. Its collapse and disintegration are essential, so that this country's civilised people understand the urgent need to form a new political instrument which can defeat the anti-British, anti-marriage, intolerant, multi-cultural, amoral rabble of New Labour and which would use its victory to some purpose.

PETER HITCHENS
The Spectator (2.10.04)

Now it's admitted Iraq has no weapons of mass destruction and the Government's dossier was wrong, can BBC Chairman Gavyn Davies, Director General Greg Dyke and Radio 4 reporter Andrew Gilligan have their jobs back? Of course not. Neither can poor, hounded-to-death Government scientist Dr. David Kelly have his life back. However, it's reported that Tony Blair's propagandist Alastair Campbell, whose hissy fit caused this whole squalid row, has been re-hired. Isn't democracy grand?

EPHRAIM HARDCASTLE
Daily Mail (14.10.04)

Not only is the Iraq war deeply unpopular with ordinary Tories across the country, as many MPs privately admit, unquestioning obedience to US orders is far from a Tory tradition...

Any Tory illusions about America really should not have survived the Suez episode. In 1956 Britain, France and Israel went to war on false pretences to destroy a troublesome Arab dictator (does that have a familiar ring?) before the Eisenhower administration ruthlessly pulled the rug from under the British and the French. Washington showed that any idea of a 'special relationship' was thoroughly one-sided.

GEOFFREY WHEATCROFT
The Guardian (5.10.04)

I have recently returned from a holiday in Britain and I wept for the country of my birth. During the '60s we were told by experts that increased immigration would enrich the country: add a new, vibrant dimension to our way of life. They were wrong. Vast hordes have swept over this once great country and changed the cultural landscape for ever.

The social engineers conspired and convinced us that only good would emerge from this bountiful and benign mass from overseas. They also were wrong. This uncontrolled free-for-all has resulted in enclaves of minority groups in every British city, driving out the indigenous population to be replaced by interlopers. This cultural genocide has been savage, systematic and complete. The British culture? It is no more. Merely a distant memory of a far-off land. This is why I weep.

Having lived in Australia for 28 years, I implore all Australians to ensure that this great country does not become another casualty of this multi-cultural madness.

DAVID MYCOCK
Letter in The West Australian (15.9.04)

Tony Blair ended the Labour conference last month with a pledge to concentrate on the domestic agenda.

No more globe-trotting glory-hunting.

And what's the first thing he did next – apart from having a minor heart operation?

He got on a plane to Africa, where he's been all week.

RICHARD LITTLEJOHN
The Sun (8.10.04)

At the opening of Scotland's new parliament building, commissioned in 1998 for £40 million but costing £431 million on completion, First Minister Jack McConnell says: "I have sensed in recent weeks a pride again among Scots for the institution which is their own."

No Scot I know feels a sense of pride in the building. What they feel is helplessness.

PETER MACKAY
Daily Mail (11.10.04)

TYNDALL SUSPENDED!

Just over two months from his announcement of a challenge to Nick Griffin for the BNP leadership, and ten months after the collapse of a previous attempt to expel him from the party, John Tyndall received in late September a notice from Mr. Tony Lecomber of suspension of his party membership, together with a list of new charges against him based upon alleged breaches of the party's disciplinary rules.

Readers will recall that last year a party disciplinary tribunal appointed by chairman Nick Griffin expelled Mr. Tyndall. The latter then took the case to law and obtained a reversal of this verdict on the grounds that it, together with the whole procedure adopted in the case, was contrary to natural justice and that a civil court would almost certainly find in Mr. Tyndall's favour were the case to be taken to that conclusion. In an out-of-court settlement Mr. Griffin rescinded the expulsion and reinstated Mr. Tyndall to membership. The costs of the case amounted to over £12,000, which upon the decision of a High Court judge were shared about equally between the plaintiff and defendants. The latter's share were paid for out of BNP funds.

Following this reversal, Mr. Lecomber was heard muttering darkly to party members that he and Mr. Griffin were nevertheless going to 'get' Tyndall one way or another: it was only a matter of time. We have witnesses to these remarks.

Against this background, the new charges did not come as a surprise. Mr. Lecomber's recent letter to Mr. Tyndall is reproduced below:-

Dear Mr. Tyndall,

I am writing to suspend your membership as per Section 6, sub-section 6 of the party Constitution pending a disciplinary tribunal.

The particulars are:-


That your January (#419) issue of *Spearhead* contained on page 4 in an article 'Forward Together Now in 2004!' written material that offended Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution and also Annex 1 - Code of Conduct, Section 8. That page 21 - 'Why are these members being frozen

out?' contained written material that offended Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution and also Annex 1 - Code of Conduct, Section 8. That an advert for *National Vanguard* on page 20 also offends Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution. That an article on pages 6-9: 'Time to start thinking about the politics of Space' also offends Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution. The broad tone of this article makes it clear that you thoroughly approve of the military aggression of Hitler's Nazi regime, its methods and ways. It scarcely needs saying that having a prominent member of our party, indeed its founding Leader, promulgating such views is damaging to our public image and our desire to see a democratic Nationalism take a firmer grip in Britain.

That your February (#420) issue of *Spearhead* contained on page 21 an advert for books by Colin Jordan that offends Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution. That page 21 - 'Legal Fund for 'expulsion' challenge' contained written material that offended Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution and also Annex 1 - Code of Conduct, Section 8. That page 28 - '350 years on: What's changed?' contained written material that offended Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution.

That your April (#422) issue of *Spearhead* contained on page 21 - a boxed item 'Spearhead Support Group' that offended Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution

That your May (#423) issue of *Spearhead* contained on pages 6-9 - 'Why we don't need Jewish Candidates' written material that offended Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution.



TYNDALL

Are they trying to railroad him out?

That your December 2003 (#418) issue of *Spearhead* contained on pages 24-25- *Spearhead Books* - a number of titles which offend Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution.

That your June 2003 (#412) issue of *Spearhead* contained on pages 6-9 - 'On the Crucial Question of Power' written material that offended Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution.

In addition your Internet site (www.spearhead-uk.com) contains an online article entitled: 'The Problem is Mr Griffin' which contains written material offending Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution and also Annex 1 - Code of Conduct, Sections 7 & 8.

Lastly, you have met with and shared a platform with a number of proscribed persons at a meeting in Bradford on Sunday July 12th offending Section 6, sub-section 2 of the party's Constitution.

I enclose a copy of the Constitution and you will have to hand copies of the offending publications so you will be able to look at these in your own time to make a considered reply.

If no written reply is received by you within 14 days, the disciplinary tribunal which will convene to consider the case will take it that the charges are admitted and act accordingly. If, on the other hand, you wish to either contest the charges or offer an explanation at the disciplinary tribunal then you ought to reply within a fortnight.

Should you wish to defend these charges then I shall write to you again with the venue, date and time of the disciplinary tribunal.

Yours for Race & Nation,
A. Lecomber, Director, Group
Development & Regulation



THE INQUISITOR
Tony Lecomber

TYNDALL REPLIES

Dear Tony Lecomber,

I am in receipt of your letter of the 23rd September informing me of my suspension and containing a new shoal of charges against me. After just a cursory look at these charges, my first impulse would be to say: you must be joking! But I know of course that you most definitely are not joking, and so I shall take these charges seriously and contest them just as I did the previous ones.

Of course, before I can put together a proper defence against the charges I will require you to be much more specific than you have been so far concerning the particular references in *Spearhead* to which you take objection. You have quoted issue numbers, dates and articles, but this is not good enough: I need to know what particular passages in the articles you consider to be breaches of party discipline. I leave this in your hands.

But speaking generally, it seems to me that you are completely oblivious of a certain basic fact. This is that *Spearhead* is an independent journal and I an independent editor, and that in a presumably free country I am at liberty to say exactly what I choose and to criticise things said and done by the BNP leadership where I believe they warrant criticism. This happens in every political party: the internal rows and arguments that go on in the mainstream parties, whether they focus on policies or personalities, far eclipse in bitterness anything that has happened in the BNP. These things are accepted as part of the cut and thrust of internal debate, and it could not be otherwise. Your and Griffin's attempts to gag me over such matters go far beyond anything dreamt of in the Labour Party by even its most paranoid leaders. The same is true of Lib and Con.

The fact that you simply will not face, Tony, is that certain public statements, policy stances and actions by Nick Griffin over the past year or two have incensed many loyal members and caused intense divisions in the BNP. I am simply the main spokesman for these members because of a certain ability and experience in writing and speaking whereby I can articulate their concerns, and because I possess a medium (*Spearhead*) through which I have the means to do so.

Issues of division

I will just list a few of the major issues over which these divisions have come about:-


- Most recently, the proposal put forward by Nick Griffin to change the rules of admission to the party to allow non-white members. Few deceive themselves that this issue has gone away because for the moment Griffin has put it on ice in response to massive protest.

- The adoption of a Jewish candidate (now councillor) for a ward in Epping Forest and the adoption of a half-Turkish one for a ward in Dagenham, yet to be contested.
- The selection of an Asian to be a spokes-

Reprinted here is John Tyndall's response to Tony Lecomber's list of charges

man for the BNP in a recent party political broadcast.

- Repeated statements by Nick Griffin that he does not believe in the feasibility of an all-white Britain, the latest of which on record is the assertion that this is an 'unrealistic utopia' – made, I recall, at the time of the Le Pen visit. In conjunction with this is Griffin's use of the term 'race freaks' to describe those in the party who disagree with him over such matters – a



HALF-TURKISH CANDIDATE
Lawrence Rustem (above) was selected to contest the Village ward of Dagenham on behalf of the BNP in a local government by-election last month.

gratuitous insult to a great many loyal members and activists who have trudged the streets to spread the BNP message, particularly those in Oldham who did so on Griffin's behalf in the general election of 2001 and again in the local government elections in 2003.

- In June this year, the crass error of political judgement whereby the party committed excessive resources to the contesting of unwinnable Euro election seats, with the result that we made a grossly inadequate input into undoubt-

edly winnable – but in the event not won – local government seats.

- The current party payroll, which is utterly ludicrous for an organisation of the BNP's present size and actual political muscle. More and more people are asking serious questions about this payroll, with regard to its purpose, who is on it and at what rate, and the sources of money that are making it possible. These are legitimate questions for any subscription-paying members and donors to ask, yet so far no adequate answers have been forthcoming.
- The circumstances behind the party's failure to present its accounts for inspection by the Electoral Commission, and the penalty likely to be incurred for this failure. In this connection, few are impressed by the lame excuse that an auditor could not be found in time.

These are issues, along with several more, over which, whether you like it or not Tony, there is a great deal of internal discontent in the BNP. Yet no adequate opportunities or facilities whatever have been given to members to discuss and debate them in the party and to call the leadership to account. We have not had a general members' meeting since March 2000. Granted that we did not organise such events in my day but we did have the annual rallies (since curiously discontinued) at which strong discontents at the grass roots of the party, had they then existed, would have been allowed an airing – as it is always sensible for any leadership to do. Official party publications bristle with propaganda justifying the 'Griffin line' on various matters, yet other publications which sometimes approach them from a more independent angle and comment upon them critically are banned from display or sale at party meetings, *Spearhead* and *Heritage & Destiny* being the main examples.

Purges and expulsions

Instead, all we get is a series of purges, expulsions, prohibitions, suspensions, proscriptions and, in some cases, the temporary closing down of good branches where people have had the temerity to dissent from the party line, whether it be over the issues mentioned here or over quite ludicrous, unjustified and illegal speaking bans against paid-up card-carrying members, even where those would-be speakers do not use the platforms to argue over internal issues but only to speak about general national politics. This year we have seen the suspension of a really excellent branch in the North of

Contd. overleaf

TYNDALL REPLIES

(Contd. from prev. page)

England simply because the organiser dared to invite me to speak there. We have also seen the effective, if not official, suspension of one of the very best London branches and the official suspension of its organiser over a quite trivial matter concerning the presence of a 'proscribed' person at a branch meeting, allegedly with the organiser's compliance. Another of the best branches in the North was threatened with closure, and its organiser with the sack, simply because of an invitation to Richard Edmonds to speak there – RE being supposedly 'tainted' because of his close association with me.

This, Tony, is paranoid behaviour that appears to be favoured as a substitute for the open, frank and free discussion of differences within the party that is long overdue. I should tell you that it is bringing you, Nick Griffin and the rest of your colleagues in the leadership into increasing loathing and contempt. If you imagine that 'chopping' John Tyndall (supposing that you get away with this) will make these matters go away, you are living on another planet.

Laughable charges

Some of your charges are utterly laughable. One concerns books advertised in a private magazine over which I as proprietor and editor have sole discretion. Another concerns an advertisement for radio broadcasts which I have carried every month for several years. If this is a chargeable offence why was I not charged for it a long time ago instead of your waiting till now? Indeed the same thing could be said of other items published by me months ago but for which I was not charged at the time. Why not?

The only conclusion likely to be drawn even by the most unbiased observer is that when these items first appeared I had not announced my intention to challenge Nick Griffin in a BNP leadership election! Is that not so, Tony?

With regard to your charge relating to an item published in *Spearhead* and appearing on the *Spearhead* website titled 'The Problem is Mr. Griffin', either your memory is so defective or your records so chaotic that you do not recall that you tried to throw a charge relating to this item at me last year but it fell apart because I was not a BNP member at the time, having recently been expelled by your kangaroo court. The article was anyway part of the quite legitimate process of internal criticism and debate – which fact you cannot seem to understand – but it fell at first base because, not being a member at the time, I was not subject to the party's disciplinary rules. I have a letter from you which acknowledges this in so many words.

And while we are on the subject of this admittedly hostile article about Mr. Griffin, it certainly was not any more hostile than, if

as hostile as, an item published by you in late 2000 in a tatty little rag which you called *The Evening Chronicle*, in which among other things you accused me of being a crook. I do not recall you facing disciplinary proceedings as a result of this.

The meaning of 'proscription'

These matters aside, you clearly do not understand the practical and legal limits imposed upon organisations' rules of 'proscription'. If a person is proscribed by an organisation, it means that he/she cannot be a member and that he/she cannot attend the organisation's official events nor take part in its official activities. It does *not* mean that other people who are members of the organisation may not associate with such a proscribed person on other occasions. Members of the BNP have the perfect right to associate with whom they please in their own time, whether this be on a private social basis or at events not organised by the BNP and not therefore coming within its disciplinary purview. You, Tony Lecomber, cannot dictate to them in such matters, anymore than can Nick Griffin. You really would be advised to seek an authoritative legal opinion on this, for by setting yourself up as monitor of what people may do away from official BNP business you are only making yourself look ridiculous.

You make reference in your letter of accusations to me as "a prominent member" and "founding leader" of the BNP, with the implication that the party's public image is likely to suffer from certain statements made by me in writing of which you do not approve. Considering your own very prominent and senior position in the party, Tony, taken in conjunction with your jail record over the handling of explosives and the later assault on an opponent, this reasoning is utterly comic. I too have a jail record, but for offences much more directly political and of an altogether lesser seriousness in terms of criminality. I must also admit to having maintained you in your positions in the party following your offences years ago – an error based on personal loyalty that later was despicably betrayed.

This is quite aside from numerous other people in the party who have been given roles of prominence despite compromising events in their pasts – just to mention one, the appointment as number-one party candidate in the GLA elections this year of a man with a highly publicised record as a former football hooligan. Against this background, your reference to me reeks of the grossest humbug.

I shall attend your disciplinary tribunal, not because I am in any doubt as to its verdict, which I am certain has been pre-ordained, but because putting it on record that I have done so will be an essential part of the legal action that I shall undoubtedly take

against the party if I am found guilty and expelled. We are likely to have, by your choice Tony, a re-run of the fiasco of last year, when you people had to reinstate me, and the cause of nationalism was left some £12,000 poorer in legal expenses as a result of your folly and vindictiveness. Is this what you want? And if it is, I have to ask: is there a Mr. Bountiful standing in the shadows ready to underwrite your costs if the whole thing flops a second time? And if so, who is he? Could he be the same person as is providing subsidies for the BNP's quite preposterous wage bill?

Fight will go on

In saying this I do not regard it as a foregone conclusion that a legal action by me against expulsion this time will succeed. It is just possible that it will not. In that case someone else will be challenging Nick Griffin for the leadership of the party in my place, and I shall continue to oppose you with all the resources at my command, if need be from outside the party at least temporarily. This battle, which you and your friends began back in the 1990s, turning a hitherto united party into a tragically divided one, will not be given up until you people are defeated. On that you have my word.

In ending, I would offer counsel that I am sure you will ignore but which is inserted here for the record. It is that you and Nick Griffin are acting with monumental foolishness in this your latest move. It will be seen by everyone, even many of your own supporters, for the cynical stunt that it is: an attempt to prevent me challenging Griffin in a democratic election for the leadership of the BNP. For a long time you have been vocal in proclaiming to everyone that Mr. Griffin has the support of the vast majority in the party and that a challenge to him by me cannot succeed. Very well, what then could be better than for you people to demonstrate this alleged majority support in the most practical way: by having a leadership ballot and Nick Griffin winning it?

But you seem unprepared to allow this, Tony. Just what are you people afraid of?

Yours faithfully
JOHN TYNDALL

FOOTNOTE

As we go to press, Mr. Tyndall has obtained the free opinion of a solicitor friend that the charges described here are quite preposterous from the legal point of view. This begs the question of why they have been made. One possible explanation could be that they came shortly after Mr. Nick Griffin had been sent a letter by another solicitor, this time acting professionally, informing him that there could be no legal basis for banning Mr. Tyndall from speaking as long as he was a bona-fide BNP member. The suspension, of course, changes this, providing a constitutional basis for the ban.

WE all have our strengths and weaknesses, and it is up to all of us as individuals to recognise what those strengths and weaknesses are. This lifelong learning process can sometimes be painful both physically and emotionally as we each strive to establish where our physical and psychological boundaries lie and the framework within which we will have to work to fulfil our true potential. In this respect the greater the degree of honesty and humility with oneself the easier should be the process of establishing the parameters within which one will have to work to help oneself to recognise what both one's strengths and weaknesses are, and which in my view is the key to self-fulfilment and self-realisation of one's full potential as a human being.

This recognition of honesty as a key to achieving one's full potential can also be applied to an organisation such as the BNP, the success of which I suggest is totally dependent on the preparedness of its members and activists, and especially its leader, to be honest enough to acknowledge, firstly their weaknesses so that they may be attended to and eliminated, and secondly their fears, so they might then be in a position to face them down and subsequently cross their personal Rubicons. This is made much easier, of course, when you have someone taking a lead and setting an example.

It would, of course, be very easy for people to dismiss what is being written here as psychological mumbo jumbo, and had it not been for the fact that Burnley BNP achieved what it did in just four short years in terms of becoming the most successful branch in the history of British Nationalism this dismissive theory might very well have been worth listening to. But the reality is that because we here in Burnley recognised these very simple truths we succeeded, and on a scale never before seen. It really is that simple.

It is for this reason fundamentally that I do not believe Nicholas Griffin is suited to the job of leading the British National Party to political success. In my view Mr. Griffin is fundamentally flawed and psychologically disfigured as a leader because he is predisposed to put himself first and the BNP second. He is consequently handicapped and disabled because of this, and unable to give all of himself because of this preoccupation.

SPOILING OF A BRANCH

Proof of this is seen quite clearly in his spoiling of Burnley BNP's progress, dissolving the role of organiser and taking Burnley's website off the net. All of this was on the basis of Burnley supposedly getting, as one of his colleagues put it, "too big for its boots"! Why then was the position of

On leadership and success

organiser reinstated and the website resurrected

after the proverbial dust had settled? The reason was quite clear. Mr. Griffin believed that Burnley's success might at some time in the future be turned upon him and, being concerned for himself and

of sincerity and integrity and therefore a lack of true and very deep-seated strength of character (humility is one of the many building blocks which help to define strength).

Mr Griffin is predisposed to back off from our enemies when it matters most. His very recent suggestion of admitting ethnic minorities to the BNP, for instance, in anticipation of nothing more than a hypothetical legal action sponsored by our sworn enemies, is evidence of this. Mr Griffin's suggestion that a more liberal BNP would be more attractive to a greater mass of the general public is completely wrong and certainly at odds with our experiences here in Burnley. The only conclusion, therefore, that one is able to draw from this attempted watering down of nationalist principles is that Mr Griffin is attempting to make his own life easier and less onerous as the BNP grows in strength and the pressure on him increases to hold firm to the policies upon which the party was founded and the basis upon which BNP councillors have been elected.

INGREDIENTS OF SUCCESS

Further to this, Burnley BNP achieved what it did in just four short years while we were technically still in possession of our alleged 'Nazi' baggage. In other words, it made absolutely no difference and might very well have helped us achieve what we did! The subsequent BNP council wins across the country have occurred in spite of, not because of, this liberalisation of policy, and has been due mainly to the hard work and dedication of the organisers and activists living in those areas. If Mr Griffin's watering down of BNP policies had any impact at all it was a negative one, and it certainly didn't begin to impact until well after we had our three, then eight, councillors elected. This for me is proof positive that it is strength, resolve, guts, drive and determination that we need, not fudge, indecision and weakness.

Spin and patter are the hallmarks of Mr Griffin's stewardship of the BNP, whether some like to hear this or not. Truth is all we have; truth is all that there is, and we must acknowledge it. Before the recent European elections, the BNP website stated that every household would receive a *Freedom* newspaper and that these were – I quote – "already thundering off

Contd. overleaf



STEVEN SMITH speaks as one who should know

his position as party leader, he saw fit to bring to a halt the very branch that was in the forefront of the BNP's drive to victory.

Mr Griffin, in my view, has very little or no humility, and is therefore unable to tap into that deep reservoir of strength and resourcefulness that each and every one of us has but all too few of us are able to recognise. This, I am sorry to say, is a character flaw which exposes an absence



NICK GRIFFIN

Not suited to the job, says the writer.

ON LEADERSHIP AND SUCCESS

(Contd. from prev. page)

the presses." This promise was never kept. Further to this, the site predicted wins in these elections, sacrificing opportunities to win more council seats and wasting something like a quarter of a million pounds in the process. Again, Mr. Griffin was proved to be wrong.

His general election result in Oldham in 2001 was largely off the back of a riot. The evidence for that was seen quite clearly in his failure to assist the very hard-working organiser in that town in winning any council seats in the three years which followed. Burnley, I would like to mention, secured its general election result *before* its own particular riot, and this was based upon a sincere and honest approach to the task of winning over the Burnley electorate. This is not vanity; it is the truth.

The point here is that I believe the leadership of the BNP is still living, as its personnel were in times past, in a world of virtual-reality nationalism where exaggeration and false promises took the place of sincerity and truth, and where allegiances were formed on the basis of financial benefit. These people's approach deceived in the process not only themselves but also the public, who are not quick to forget when they have been duped.

These were the reasons why Mr. Griffin had never been truly successful in

all the years he had personally been involved in nationalism; and Burnley's success, I am afraid to say, taught him absolutely nothing. If Mr. Griffin is honest enough with himself and the rest of us, he will acknowledge the truth of these proclamations without reservation. These things I can say not because I have any personal axe to grind; I have none. I say them simply because I believe them to be true and have witnessed at first hand Mr. Griffin's predisposition to put himself rather than the party first. This is a failing that is very difficult, if not impossible, to correct, and it can only mean that our party can never truly fulfil its maximum potential as long as its destiny is tied to the personal ambitions, failings and weaknesses of its leader.

'A MANAGER'

A brief exchange with a close confidant of Mr. Griffin's in 2002 confirmed to me my already growing suspicions of his preoccupation with money and self. "Nick wants to be a manager," he said. Being an accountant myself, I understood perfectly well the implications of this, and imagined Mr. Griffin doing nothing more than sitting back watching an unpaid and energetic national workforce generating lots of money while he and his hangers on 'managed' things. "Nick wouldn't mind if the BNP split, provided he could hang onto the rump of the membership." That was also doing the rounds at that time. Whether it was true or false, Mr. Griffin in my view is too much preoccupied with

money and himself to be able to lead the BNP to victory. The BNP consequently will struggle to fulfil its true potential and will eventually become nothing more than a nationalist version of the Women's Institute.

I have said it many times before and I will say it again: money has its place but it is not essential to generating success. The winning of Burnley's full total of 11 council seats in just a short four years, the tens of thousands of pounds of income this generated for the party in terms of donations and new members, the local political power and the self-generating free publicity were all achieved on a very tiny budget. Again without vanity, we can simply state the truth of these things. We achieved what we did off the back of work, dedication and courage, not money – which at present is in my view simply being raised and used to 'buy' the allegiance of those whom Mr Griffin regards as indispensable to maintaining his position as leader.

Steven Smith is the most successful branch organiser in the history of the British National Party, having overseen campaigns that resulted in no fewer than eleven victories in elections to Burnley Borough Council, involving ten winning candidates, one of these being elected twice. His book, How it was Done: The rise of Burnley BNP, can be obtained for £5.00 (inc. p&p) from: Cliviger Press, 7 West-view, Overtown, Cliviger, Burnley, Lancs. BB10 4TG.

On the suspension of John Tyndall

John Tyndall is, in my opinion, a man of high principles, keen insight, deep dedication, and dauntless courage. I first met him in 1991, and, as archivist for the Revilo Oliver estate, was privileged to read his decades-long correspondence with Dr. Oliver – which in itself could make an excellent book someday.

It is interesting to note that the writings of both Mr. Tyndall and Mr. Griffin have appeared in National Alliance media: Tyndall's written works have been published on nationalvanguard.org and one of his speeches is in our tape catalogue; and Mr. Griffin's two-part article on the Celts appeared in *National Vanguard* magazine a few years ago. And there is reciprocity, too – interesting, since one of the 'counts' against John Tyndall complains of an advertisement for *National Vanguard* in *Spearhead* – between publications: Mr. Tyndall reprinted my piece on Khodorkovsky, and Nick Griffin (in addition to writing for us) has quoted quite extensively from *National Vanguard* magazine in one of his.

I would add only this: Pretending to be something you are not is a very hazardous road to follow. It may lead to growth, but of an unhealthy kind: you may find yourself crowded out and perhaps totally eclipsed by enemies or those with little understanding; and you may be reviled by those with whom you ought to have the strongest affinity, but who do not know you are pretending. (One Hell of a way to spend your life, I might add, though common enough.)

On the other hand, skilful pretence might just give you a chance to grab the golden ring when everyone least expects it. It's possible that pretending to be a Rockefeller or a Dupont could get you into the Polo Club and – who knows? – you might end up with the Director's

daughter and be written into somebody's will. But you'll be severely constricted in what you can do, for the mask must never fall or all is lost.

Many would agree with me that our science- and nature-based racial beliefs can be presented to white people in a much better and more appealing (and actually more truthful) way than they have been in the past.

But presenting your philosophy in a positive way, in language your audience can understand, is quite different from flat-out telling them whatever they want to hear. And if such an approach causes you to back off from the centrality of the Jewish problem, then you will open the gates for your most deadly, intelligent, and utterly implacable enemy to walk in and take away everything you have built. We Whites who are awake are all afraid of the approaching abyss that yawns before our people, and we do what we believe we must, with our few paltry years of life, to avert the catastrophe.

I hope that all who appear to be compromising really are not, and that those with real power in such groups, whatever they may say, hold true to an unshakeable determination to wrest power from our enemies and achieve self-determination for our people. I wish the BNP and Mr. Tyndall the greatest success imaginable, whether they be on separate paths or together.

Whatever comes of these storms, I know this: If there is a European race left 100 years hence, John Tyndall will be on its roll of honour.

Kevin Strom is a leading member of the US-based National Alliance, presenter of the radio programme American Dissident Voices and editor of the world-renowned racial nationalist magazine National Vanguard.

ALL THE WORLD A STAGE?

WELL YES, according to Shakespeare; and "all the men and women merely players." You would expect the supreme playwright to say as much; but in his case the blithe assertion usually implies a profound insight into the human condition. Even so, this dramatic metaphor makes God a cosmic puppeteer and stage manager, using the world as an experimental theatre. Whatever, it is certainly true that modern society has blurred the distinction between reality and entertainment. A public saturated with media parables and computerised imagery begins to lose its grip on the real world outside. These days it gets most of its moral and aesthetic guidance from soap-opera parables and fashionable 'celebrities', not from the best examples of human wisdom and achievement in the real world.

The path to fame and fortune for people is increasingly seen as lying in acting rather than being significant contributors to human progress. And what the film and programme-makers are saying is that their version of the world is more authoritative than anyone else's! Authentic sages, saints, geniuses and heroes have always been a very small fraction of human society, and are nowadays hugely overshadowed and marginalised by the entertainment industry. The photogenic actor who plays many heroic parts on stage but never in real life is accorded far more attention, acclaim and material rewards than the individuals he portrays.

Judging by the amount of media coverage, Establishment deference and popular acclaim they receive, actors and entertainers are now the supreme role models.

But to paraphrase George Bernard Shaw's salty observation about the substance and process of education, "Those who can, do; those who can't, act." Many people, not least the actors themselves, would prefer to dismiss this appraisal as churlish and inappropriate; but the matter is much too serious for that. What might be called the 'Appearance Industry' now envelops almost every social activity, from industrial and commercial advertising to local and national government.

OBSESSION WITH IMAGERY

Note, for example, the kind of people clustered around prominent politicians, the references to 'image' and the burgeoning 'public relations' profession. Note too the increasing number of actors and actresses taking up politics, astutely recognising that this particular job is tending to

become just another branch of show business. And note also the number of failed politicians who make an easy transition to largely entertainment roles in the

analysed in terms of these four ingredients; indeed, this has become an essential discipline for anyone seeking to maintain a sense of direction and proportion in a

In mass media-dominated societies, says FRANK KIMBAL JOHNSON, people are losing their grip on reality

mass media.

It is arguably an infallible symptom of decadence when the 'Appearance Industry' begins to dominate the national psyche and represent the moral order of society; when entertainment becomes a fool's paradise in a darkening world. The general import is "Never mind what's happening to your country and native culture; what must grip your attention is the next instalment of your favourite soap opera" – in which, of course, the lowest common denominators of human behaviour are given the most exposure. And not surprisingly, these parables of social engineering constantly promote the fashionable themes of modern decadence like feminism, multi-racialism, consumerism and sexual licence.



LIBERAL FANTASY WORLD
As in this shot from a series on a special MI5 unit, TV shows women and Blacks in roles completely divorced from reality.

These ingredients are easily detectable in most mass-media productions as well as in almost every advertisement in the public and private sector. All of this is commonly presented to us as evidence of 'progress' by politicians and media pundits, who have long since departed from reality and taken root in the 'Appearance Industry'. The pious commitment to "inform, educate and entertain" wheeled out in every discussion of the mass media carefully omits the hidden agenda: "to indoctrinate." **Every production in the mass media can be**

media-dominated world. All propagandists thrive on blurring the distinction between indoctrination and the other three elements mentioned above.

But all we get is prim advance warning of TV and radio productions which might give offence because of the violence, sex or profanity they contain.

Any so-called 'Media Studies' syllabus which fails to include rigorous analysis of media content in terms of the above four elements is fundamentally absurd; akin to management teaching which deals with planning, control and co-ordination but avoids reference to motivation.

RADICAL SHIFT NEEDED

It should be clear enough by now that a radical shift from the politics of appearance to those manifestly based in reality is the only way to go. Let us not be beguiled by PR image-makers and 'presentation' pundits into believing that the packaging is more important than the contents. It has to be as clear as anything can be to electors that a vote for the BNP is a vote for: (i) withdrawal from the EU; (ii) repatriation of unwanted immigrants; (iii) preserving the UK; (iv) greater economic self-sufficiency; and (v) support for the two-parent family. Indeed, if the BNP does nothing more than plug this starkly simple message all the time it will get all the votes it could possibly expect and deserve; and no amount of public posturing and stage management could achieve more.

So make this five-fingered salute the instant sign of recognition among British patriots everywhere; and use the same five points to impale the opposition poseurs at every encounter. Do not get embroiled in niggling arguments about taxation, social services, the NHS, education and such, since nothing worthwhile is achievable in any of these areas without prior adoption of the five core nationalist policies. This British fundamentalism has to be seen as the best possible defence against our enemies at home and abroad and the only foundation for a worthwhile future.

IAN BUCKLEY remembers a neglected prophet

COMPLACENT, self-seeking, corrupt and foolish: these are just a few of the adjectives that can be applied to the present-day British Establishment.

However, these words very definitely did not apply to Admiral Sir Barry Domvile, who rose to the highest levels of policy-making during an era of crucial interest. This was the period when this country was losing self-confidence and independent direction, as Great Britain began to gradually transform into a drab

This theorizing was much strengthened by the undoubted fact that successive British governments of the time made idiotic and even near-suicidal decisions which made no sense whatever in rational terms. A good example – which astonished Barry Domvile – was the abandonment of the alliance with Japan in 1922. There were close links between the Royal Navy and the Japanese Navy, which to some extent had been modelled after the

referring, will be constantly appearing in the course of this narrative. A short distinctive title will be a convenience. Let us christen it Judmas, because, as I discovered at a much later date, its source is the Judaeo-Masonic combination, which has wielded such a baneful influence in world history for many centuries."

Now it should be noted that this statement is in no sense the product of 'hate', but rather of common sense and observation. Domvile had attended numerous peace conferences after World War I, knew both Lloyd George and Churchill personally, and was as well-informed as you'd expect a Director of Naval Intelligence to be. Remember that, as far back as the middle of the nineteenth century, the Rothschild dynasty exerted enormous financial control over all European countries and even the United States. Benjamin Disraeli admitted as much in *Coningsby*. Has that power lessened since then? It does not seem likely nor probable that it has.

Sir Barry Domvile: the Admiral who became a cabin boy

little Britain. The stage was set for the coming of miserable political hucksters like Blair and Thatcher, themselves at the beck and call of greater powers. The puppets dance whilst hidden forces pull the strings from behind the scenes, as Domvile put it in his excellent and revealing memoir *From Admiral to Cabin Boy*. Incidentally, Barry Domvile, whose long life ended on 13th August 1971, was prescient enough to foretell the coming of the globalist élite with his perfect short description that it acknowledges: "... no territorial limits and respects no human ideals, but regards the whole world as one glorious financial playground to be used for its own benefit."

Early awakenings

Just before the First World War, Domvile had begun to gain knowledge of the ways of government, due to his position as assistant secretary on the Imperial Defence Committee. The overall impression he drew from the experience was alarming:-

"From that time onwards I had a strong suspicion that there was some mysterious power at work behind the scenes controlling the actions of the figures visibly taking part in the Government of the country. I had not the least idea whence this power emanated, nor could I gauge the strength of its influence. I was in far too humble a position to make such lofty discoveries. Still, the feeling persisted. We always vaguely referred to this hidden control amongst ourselves as the Treasury."

pattern of the former; but largely as a result of American pressure the British government decided not to renew the Treaty. At once, the Far Eastern possessions of the Empire such as Hong



ADMIRAL DOMVILE
Flung into jail for his opposition to the slaughter of Europe's finest

Kong and Singapore were imperilled. In addition, this move was also a calculated insult to the Japanese, a very foolish thing to do to a nation so acutely sensitive to 'loss of face'.

It would seem the right place to give Domvile's verdict about those early suspicions regarding the ultimate cause of the mis-direction of Britain: "This mysterious power, to which I have been

Worked for Anglo-German friendship

By the beginning of the 1930s, Barry Domvile had become President of the Royal Naval College at Greenwich. He saw the acute need to foster better relations between Britain and Germany, so avoiding another disaster like the Great War. Eventually he founded the Anglo-German 'Link', an association whose purpose was: "to foster the mutual knowledge and understanding between the British and German peoples, and to counteract the flood of lies with which our people were being regaled in their daily papers."

Unlike a roughly similar organisation, the Anglo-German Fellowship, which catered mainly for business types and the upper classes, Domvile's Link was aimed more towards ordinary people:-

"Membership of the 'Link' was open to all; to anyone with enough sense to realise that the establishment of good relations between English and German people was the best work to which any man and woman could apply if they wished to avoid a cataclysm.

"We opened branches gradually all over the country, several in London and its suburbs. I spent a time travelling all over England and Scotland, to address members of new branches; I never got as far as Ireland, or to any of the branches started by enthusiasts in the Dominions."

Despite the fact that Domvile, when

visiting Germany with Link members, had always been careful to keep clear of excessive contact with 'official Nazidom', his leadership of the Link was to be held against him. It was the main factor which led to his imprisonment without trial under Regulation 18b in Brixton Prison. Then as now, the advocates of 'freedom' were extremely touchy about any dissenting views. For whatever bizarre putative reason may have occurred to the Churchill government, his wife Alexandra was also imprisoned, in Holloway.

The Admiral had come to his 'cabin' in Brixton Jail. One warder, who had been a petty officer under Domville, was unable to believe his eyes when he found his distinguished former commander in a cell. Interestingly, by the standards of the time Barry Domville was completely free from racial animosity, referring to the kindness of a black prisoner who carried his case, or commenting that he preferred the presence of thirty 'clean and inoffensive' Chinese internees to that of thirty politicians of the type of Herbert Morrison.

For the next three years, Admiral Domville's home was to be a cell, with a plank bed two inches from the floor, a table, chair and wash-stand. But Dom-

vile did not become too bitter, holding that as long as one was content with one's own company that prison afforded many opportunities to develop one's mind that were otherwise unobtainable in the modern world.

ACCURATE VIEWS

Still it was a most unfair punishment to be imposed just for holding embarrassingly accurate views:-

"It has been admitted by Mr. Cordell Hull that the United States Government presented impossible terms to Japan, and only expected a declaration of war in response... I do not pretend to know whether Churchill realised the speedy loss of our Far Eastern possessions which was bound to follow, if his efforts to bring America into the war were successful. I can only reiterate that I did."

Or consider this statement by Admiral Barry Domville, which is very relevant today, and should be especially directed towards our conscienceless and shameless 'leaders' to poverty, war and calamity:-

"The first duty of any form of government is to promote the welfare of its own subjects, and not to sacrifice their lives uselessly, in an

endeavour to exercise parental control over a reluctant world, mainly at the behest of a powerful alien minority."

In conclusion, Admiral Domville's book *From Admiral to Cabin Boy* is an essential read, if – and this is a big if – you can find a copy. Though obtainable from ordinary bookshops and libraries for some years following its publication in 1947, the book has almost disappeared from public view. Even in the 1950s, Ezra Pound complained that *From Admiral to Cabin Boy* was being suppressed and quietly removed from libraries. So much for 'democracy'! At the risk of repeating myself, what sort of democracy do we have in this country, when that word means little more than a sanctification of misrule by the media mafia, fattest wallet and most pliable bought politician?

As Domville himself commented: "I have no regrets whatever for undertaking the voyage, as I should always have reproached myself if I had failed to do my utmost to draw attention to the contemplated betrayal of all true British interests. It is a matter for deep regret, however, that my misgivings have been only too completely justified by the passage of events."

MADHOUSE BRITAIN

A RULE which bans mentally ill people from sitting as MPs is to be relaxed in a shake-up of mental health laws.

An outright bar on MPs 'of unsound mind' will be replaced by a test that allows them to carry on so long as they are able to turn up at Westminster.

As a reaction to this amendment, many have asked in what way will Parliament be thus changed!

* * *

A SHOPKEEPER in Norwich faces prosecution for hitting one of a gang of thieves after he had been stabbed in the back.

Colin Moore was set upon by the gang after he had chased them out of his shop. As well as the stabbing, he had a bottle of wine smashed over his head and £100 worth of goods stolen. But for punching one of his assailants he was ordered to report to his local police station to face allegations of assault and actual bodily harm!

* * *

THE DANDY, the long-surviving children's comic which the older ones among us will recall with affection, is to be brought into line with the modern age of political correctness.

The image of favourite cowboy character Desperate Dan is to be changed. He'll be relieved of his gun, will be losing weight and won't any longer be eating cow pie. He must cut down on the violence and musn't use his spurs.

Meanwhile a new character is making his first

appearance. He is Dreadlock Holmes, a boy sleuth, and – yes, you've guessed it! – he's black! He is one of a brand new cast being introduced in a relaunch aimed at winning back youngsters lost to television and computer games.

* * *

"AT Vallance Community Centre on Saturdays: Sudanese Mother & Children's Group. 1-4 p.m. Tel. Nagwa 203755.

"Disabled Dykes: a self-help group for women who self-identify as disabled lesbians in the Brighton & Hove area. Tel. 07952 832023."

Adverts in West Hove, a free-issue local neighbourhood magazine.

* * *

WE should discontinue referring to ethnic minorities as ethnic minorities. Who says so? The Law Society, in its latest diktat. The rule at least must be followed by solicitors, even if the rest of the population ignore it. The directive has been issued by the society's chief executive, one Janet Paraskeva, in what she calls the 'Equality and Diversity Handbook'. Miss Paraskeva's suggested alternative? 'Minority ethnics', which she sees as 'all-embracing and inclusive.'

* * *

THREE members of a gang of seven who terrorised a housing estate in Brent, North West London, launched a 'human rights' action in the High Court against Brent Council for naming

and shaming them locally by use of leaflets and posters throughout the neighbourhood. Six out of the gang of seven are black.

The case, backed by the civil rights group Liberty, was eventually thrown out by the court but the defence against it cost the Council and police more than £100,000 to defend. Along with legal aid granted to the plaintiffs, the whole affair cost the taxpayer an estimated £250,000.

* * *

MEANWHILE, the asylum-seeker who sparked off the riot that caused £38 million damage to the Yarl's Wood detention centre in Bedfordshire is suing the Government for compensation because she claims that an incident at the centre breached her human rights.

Nigerian Eunice Edozieh became hysterical when denied entry to a prayer meeting in an all-male wing and had to be restrained by security guards. Following this she spent more than two weeks in solitary. Hence the claim, which runs to £50,000.

* * *

AFTER a long wait, residents in Hellesdon, Norfolk, were given a new pedestrian crossing at a busy point in the town at an installation cost of £70,000. The crossing incorporates technology which detects when people are waiting to cross the road.

So far so good, but then the local council sent out leaflets, all by first-class post, to local people instructing them in the use of the crossing, saying that they should cross when the lights were on green but should wait when they were on red!

The cost of this leaflet operation is not known.

THE RACIAL POLITICS OF POLICING IN CHICAGO

The following article first appeared in American Renaissance, obtainable from PO Box 527, Oakton, VA 22124, U.S.A. We are reproducing it here because much of the scenario described will be familiar to British readers.

CABRINI GREEN in Chicago is one of the most notorious housing projects in the country. Horrific crimes by Blacks against Blacks are fast and frequent. Some make the national news, as in the 1997 case of 'Girl X', a young black woman who was savagely and repeatedly raped, beaten, forced to drink gasoline, strangled with her own T-shirt, and eventually left for dead in a stairwell. She survived, but lost the use of her hands. A fellow Cabrini resident, Patrick Sykes, got a 120-year sentence for the crime.

there is no organisation beyond the block level. Most of the Crazy Crew were kids back in the Hoover era, but they filled the vacuum at Cabrini Green.

The Incident

It is April 17, 2003, approximately 10:30 p.m. The police have their eye on a van filled with known drug traffickers. This is a Chicago neighbourhood near Cabrini Green that does a booming drug business, and police have just seen a typical hand-to-hand transaction: Another known gang member handed a brown paper bag to one of the men in the van. The police roll up and officers recognise Rondell 'Nightfall' Freeman, the alleged shooter in two homicides, and Antonio

(Officer in need of immediate assistance) over the radio. Police units start flying in. A sergeant arrives, and officers keep the area around the van clear.

Under these uncertain crowd conditions, you don't want to risk trouble by arresting everyone, so the sergeant starts negotiating with the driver, Rondell Freeman. The sergeant tells the group he wants only Antonio Parker, the man who punched an officer, and if he comes out peaceably, the rest can go home. Mr. Freeman's response? "F*** you!"

Part of the problem is the van's tinted windows. Tints are illegal in Illinois, because an officer doesn't know if someone inside is pointing a Tek 9 or a fully automatic 'street-sweeper' shotgun at his head. In any case, these gangsters usually have something to hide. The van is shut up tight as a clam; the driver's side window might have rolled down a crack once or twice.

Residents start throwing rocks, cans, bricks, and bottles from the high floors of the surrounding buildings. These are the same people who call the police every day. "Baby's daddy just jumped on me and I have a stomach ache." "I want him locked up, he stole my Link card (used to collect welfare payments)." Police also get a lot of calls about violations of orders of protection, which are restraining orders on people accused of domestic battery. Many men cosy back up to their women, and everyone forgets about the order of protection until the man spends the welfare money on booze or cocaine. Some women suddenly remember the order and call the police if they catch their man cheating. But now we are all the enemy.

A lieutenant arrives on the scene. The negotiations with the men in the van go on while the crowd grows. Even a guy in a wheelchair comes out to have a look. It's still raining cans of corned beef hash, and the mob is getting out of control. Fights are flaring up between residents, and the police have to use force to arrest some of them. The lieutenant calls for more assistance citywide.

The negotiations take 30 to 45 minutes, which is an amount of time astonishing only to anyone not a Chicago police officer. There is an unwritten policy in the department for handling situations like this — white officers, black criminals, crowds of hostiles — very carefully. If the least little thing goes wrong, there will be a stink and heads can roll. All the officers know that if there is a hullabaloo the higher ups will not hesitate to fire officers if they think that will quiet angry blacks.

In this case, the men in the van are going to have to come out one way or another. The police alternatively beg, plead, insist and demand, until they are convinced nothing short of force will do the job.

The lieutenant tells his men to break into the van. An officer smashes a window, and police fire pepper spray into the vehicle. Other men break more windows, both so they can see inside and so the offenders can breathe. Officers reach in through the broken windows and open the doors, igniting an uproar from the mob and the people hanging out of the buildings. More debris come sailing down on the police.

Confusion

The scene is one of great confusion. No one in the van follows directions. The passengers have to be dragged out of the van, and if you have to get close enough to a felon to drag him out of a car, there is no telling what he may do. You don't know what weapons he may have, and you must

A case study of how white officers take the rap, by JAMES HENDERSON

The welfare and degeneracy of Cabrini make it the perfect incubator for a flourishing drug trade. There are no Whites in these buildings, with the exception of the police officers who run up and down the stairs chasing dealers, and the occasional 'walkup' or 'roll through' by white addicts looking for drugs. Unlike Hispanic gangs, which defend their territory against all comers, black narcotics dealers are under orders from the top not to hurt white clients. People who fear for their lives do not make good customers, and for black gangs money trumps everything.

Lately, Cabrini Green has been less violent than it used to be, but only because, in desperation, the city reduced the population by sending many residents to some unlucky suburb. Still, as a country fellow might say, a half bag of rattlesnakes is still a bag of rattlesnakes. The residents are not shy about calling the police when they need help, but they sometimes ambush responding officers with sniper fire.

This, then, was the scene of a standoff a year ago between the police and members of the 'Crazy Crew', which produced a media frenzy and the standard city-government reaction when the crooks are black and the police are white: hang the cops out to dry. This is the inside story of what happened, and it is dedicated to the nation's hard-working police officers who are tired of race-baiting, and of being treated as expendable garbage by politicians.

The Crazy Crew is the Cabrini Green faction of the 'Gangster Disciples', or GDs, who were once the nation's largest street gang. The very capable Larry Hoover used to run the gang — which controlled more territory than Al Capone ever did — and even after his 1974 conviction for murder he kept it going quite efficiently from his jail cell in Joliet State Prison. Only after 1997, when the authorities caught on to what was happening and transferred him to Terre Haute Federal Penitentiary in Indiana, did the Disciples start falling apart.

The GDs used to control killing and drug dealing in a centralised, scientific way, but now

'PMG' (Playa' Money Gangsta') Parker, the named offender in an aggravated battery of a police officer (he punched the arresting officer in the face and got away, though the police recovered narcotics and a gun). The officers can see these men only through the front windscreen because the other windows are heavily tinted.

The van pulls into Cabrini, which could not have been better laid out for drug dealers if they had designed it themselves. There are only two ways in, and the gangs can monitor these easily while they do their business. There are wrought-iron fences that keep the police from driving straight up to the doors, so it is easy to make a hand-to-hand and disappear into a building. For



SCENE OF THE TROUBLE
Cabrini Green Estate (known in the US as 'Project')

added tranquility, the gangs sometimes make a false 911 (999 in Britain. Ed.) call to a different part of town to divert the police. This means uninterrupted business for at least 20 minutes. With the lookout system, they almost always know the police are coming, and this time is no exception. Someone in the van probably 'phoned ahead.

The van stops, but the occupants refuse to get out. Hundreds of project residents gather, surrounding the police and the van. The police immediately call for backup, yelling "10-1! 10-1!"

assume his sole purpose is to maim or kill you.

The officers order the four men to lie down on their stomachs, with their hands behind their backs so they can be cuffed. There are four or five officers for each man, and some resist more than others. 'Nightfall' Freeman, the first man out of the van, is putting up the biggest fight. Several officers have him on his stomach, but he refuses to do as he is told. He is lashing out with his arms and legs, kicking and squirming, and appearing to reach for his waistband. He is known to carry a knife and maybe even a gun. Officers have to assume he is going to pull out a gun and shoot them all. There are no second chances or do-overs in police work; only trips to the hospital, funerals — or successful arrests.

One or two officers start punching and kicking Mr. Freeman. There is a simple logic here: Once he has suffered enough he will do what the officers are shouting at him to do. All the men in the van understand this: Lie still and let the police cuff you, and the pain will stop.

In this case, the officers want to be out of there as quickly as possible. With a Cabrini Green crowd at their backs anything could happen. Now that white officers have manhandled blacks, someone in the buildings might even open fire.

The officers have to be persuasive with Mr. Freeman. They could inflict more pain if they used batons, but there are too many officers and they are too close to each other to get a good swing without hitting each other. They aim their kicks and punches at his sides, arms, and legs, and are careful not to hit him in the head. He finally does what he is told, and the officers cuff him. They get him to his feet, and hustle him into a paddy wagon driven by Officers Joseph Groh and Bryan Vander Mey. They drive him across the street to the 18th District station.

Officers search the men carefully, and find no drugs or weapons. The police ask if any are injured, and whether they want to go to the hospital. They all say "no." However, a quick-thinking sergeant knows better. There is no telling what injuries these felons could claim later, and he sends them to a local hospital for pepper spray decontamination and an injury check. A doctor finds no injuries, and signs a document saying so. The Police Department sends an evidence technician to take pictures. Again, there are no signs of injury. (It is best to take pictures without too much warning. Suspects may bite their lips to get blood and smear it around, or puff up their cheeks to make their faces look swollen.)

Back at Cabrini, everyone knows that some 'brothers' had a dust-up with the police, and about 100 citizens march over to the station and start throwing rocks and bottles. The commander doesn't want any trouble, and orders the men to take only defensive action. He is as afraid as anyone of a racial beef. The canine units go out to protect the patrol cars, which the mob would love to burn. The officers arrest a few people on misdemeanor charges, but no police are injured, no cars are damaged, and the station is safe. The crowd gets bored and goes home.

The Video

The next day, on the television news, there was a grainy video of the arrests at Cabrini Green, taken from an upper floor of one of the buildings. The station ran the video without the sound track; they wouldn't want to expose their audience to a mob of blacks calling the police "white b**ches" and "white devil mother f**kers." The news also

failed to mention that everyone in the van had criminal records, and was resisting arrest after more than a half-hour of negotiation. The newscasters all mentioned Rodney King.

The then Chicago Police Superintendent, Terry Hillard (he is black, but it hardly matters) proclaimed, without the need for any investigation, that "a little more than excessive force was used" and that "I have viewed the tape, and I am personally upset about what I have seen." He goes on to say: "The Chicago Police Department has worked too long and too hard in all our communities to build very strong relationships with our residents. These types of incidents undermine that relationship." At this point we have the typical switch, and it is now the police who are on trial and the criminals who are 'victims'. In Superintendent Hillard's defence, he has never taken a stand for any copper under the gun, so why should he start now?



CHIEF HILLARD
Didn't like what he
saw on video

In the video, it doesn't look as though Mr. Freeman is having a good time (see the video at www.amreca.com). However, if you pick a fight with the police and decide not to do what you are told, you open yourself up to some nasty moves, and it is all totally by the book. There is no such thing as 'half way' in police use of force, and it is either warranted or isn't. If you put up a fight, it's warranted.

When Bush (Snr.) panicked

In all the classic videos, including the one of the arrest of Rodney King, look closely: Mr. King gets the stick only when he makes a move. The officers are telling him to lie still and he refuses. That is why they were acquitted at their first trial.

In the case of the Los Angeles cops, it was President George H.W. Bush who panicked after the city went up in flames and told us the officers were guilty despite the verdict. The police in Chicago did not need the President. They had a police chief to tell the world they were guilty.

After the King incident, the LAPD switched to the 'swarm' method of gang-tackling an offender to subdue and cuff him. That is supposed to be more humane than clubbing him. At Cabrini, the police had to get up close to the criminals and had no choice but to swarm them, but that is not the method they prefer. Why not? Because the first officer in the swarm stands a good chance of being punched in the face, and not many officers want that kind of punishment. The academy gives us better advice: Distance equals shielding. If possible, use the baton, because if you can reach the felon with your stick he probably can't reach you with his fist.

In the Cabrini Green video, Mr. Freeman gets nothing like the number of strikes Mr. King did, but that makes no difference. You haven't signed a contract with the thug who is fighting you. There is no agreement that says: "OK, after five

strikes — fist or baton — you promise to go down, and quietly let me put the cuffs on you; any violation of the rules can result in serious litigation!" Sometimes it takes more than five strikes — maybe a lot more. The armchair quarterbacks of the courtroom and the mayor's office should have to go out into the wild with the cops, and see what it is like dealing with some of the world's problem people.

Race angle

How the politicians react to an incident like this depends to a huge extent on factors that have nothing to do with what an officer actually did. The most important is how much media coverage there is, and whether there is a race angle. A video tape that can be made to look like 'racists' in action — and that gets a lot of air time — is about the worst thing that can happen to an officer.

Mr. Freeman filed a complaint with something called the Office of Professional Standards (OPS), and the city chose the two officers who drove him to the station, Joseph Groh and Bryan Vander Mey, to take the fall. On June 1, 2003, OPS charged them with "discharging pepper spray without permission," and with "battery" of Rondell Freeman. In fact, it is not entirely clear they even did the things they are charged with, since the only evidence was probably filmed from ten floors up, and is not much clearer than a 'Bigfoot' video. There is a pile of people, and there are some kicks and punches, but it's not at all clear who anyone is. There was so much chaos at the scene that not even the other officers are entirely sure who was doing what. The sergeant says he had pepper spray in his eyes, and the lieutenant says there were men at the scene he did not recognize.

As for spraying pepper without permission, technically that's true. However, it is so common to use pepper under circumstances like these, the lieutenant wouldn't have thought twice about it. This is the sort of charge you get in a political case. The local media said the police used "tear-gas grenades." This is pure sensationalism. Chicago police carry pepper dispensers on their belts similar to the ones the public can buy.

Later, the District Attorney even brought criminal charges against Mr. Vander Mey for which he is likely to go on trial, but first a word about the Office of Professional Standards. The department already had something called the Internal Affairs Division, which looks into officer misconduct, so a second bureaucracy wasn't necessary. The OPS was set up to improve community relations — probably to please blacks — and encourages the public to call if someone has a problem with the police. This means OPS has become the perfect tool for anyone who wants to stir up trouble against the police.

This, needless to say, includes criminals, and if they have a cell 'phone chances are they have the OPS number on their speed dial. Often, when an officer makes a simple traffic stop, the driver is already on the 'phone to OPS before the cop can make it to the driver's side door. Even a completely baseless complaint — the huge majority — means time-consuming paper work, and any working Chicago police officer can expect to 'do paper' on at least one complaint every other week.

It is because of groups like OPS that officers have to list the race of everyone they stop. OPS says these statistics will affect only a small

Contd. overleaf

THE RACIAL POLITICS OF POLICING IN CHICAGO

(Contd. from prev. page)

percentage of officers, but 'affect' means disciplinary measures. The message is simple: "Write up too many minorities and we'll drop the hammer on you." These groups have never heard of racial differences in crime rates.

Of course, the statistics also list Hispanic criminals as 'white', which artificially inflates the white crime rate, and makes Blacks look less crime-prone than they are. Statistics are a wonderful thing in the hands of publicity-conscious administrators. An attempted murder can miraculously become an aggravated battery, and a 'hate crime' can become a mere assault. As most any cop will tell you, a crime is a 'hate crime' only when the perpetrator is white and the victim is a minority. All it takes is the click of a mouse to sanitize an entire district, but even after all possible adjustments, Blacks are still the most serious offenders, and Chicago still leads the nation in homicides.

Trial by TV

The OPS has its own agenda. Lori Lightfoot, black head spokesman for the office, went on a black public access television programme called 'The Munir Muhammed Show' and listed the names of the officers under investigation in the Cabrini case before there were any official findings. This is against policy, but the police department would not dare reprimand a prominent Black for this blatant violation.

On the program, Miss Lightfoot failed to mention a little investigation carried out by representatives from OPS, Internal Affairs, and the State's Attorney's Office. They visited 'the gym' in Chicago's police academy, where officers learn use of force guidelines, and showed the famous video to the officer in charge. He quickly determined that it showed standard procedure, not police brutality. He told them the 'victims' were, in fact, assailants. The witch-hunters didn't want to hear this, so they went to the man's immediate supervisor, who just happened to be a black woman. She would certainly give them the answer they wanted. Wrong! She agreed with the first officer. Nothing more has been heard of these opinions, and both the officer and the sergeant have since been transferred out of their jobs at the gym. Rumor has it that Miss Lightfoot took her video (without the colorful soundtrack) to the Cook County State's Attorney, but was laughed out of his office.

None of this came up on 'The Munir Muhammed Show', where Miss Lightfoot was clearly trying to fuel the racial fire and create a name for herself in the black community.

One curious fact in this case is that Officers Vander Mey and Groh stayed at their jobs for seven months after the Cabrini incident. If the department really thought they were violent, run-amok officers — and all the brass had seen the tape — they would have been off the street immediately. At a minimum, they would have gone to a centre that takes non-emergency calls over the phone. This is a desk job a suspect or injured officer can take and still be paid. Cops call it "crips and criminals duty." Some of the officers who work in callback have even killed people — justified or not.

But no, the Cabrini officers continued to work. Watch commanders continued to fill their gang



CHICAGO'S 'THIN BLUE LINE'

The sole barrier between the multi-racial city and anarchy

activity reports with information about the Crazy Crew. The reports regularly mentioned Rondell Freeman as its leader. Members of the Crazy Crew were the main suspects in a series of home invasions in the Cabrini area, but the residents were afraid to testify about what they saw. Watch commanders continued to warn us that these men fight the police, so we were to use extreme caution around them.

Rondell Freeman, the 'beating' victim, was arrested again on January 16, 2004, for violating bail bond and for a weapons charge, after he was stopped for a tinted-windows violation. Once again, Mr. Freeman would not get out of his car. A sergeant had to be called in, but this time he got out without a fight. Officers found a push dagger in plain view on the floor of the car, where he had been sitting. They also found a group of bullet holes below the passenger-side airbag. From the tight grouping, it looked as though they were probably made with an automatic weapon.

Threat against police

While he was being processed at the station, Mr. Freeman threatened the arresting officers, saying he had a "working relationship" with Internal Affairs (IAD), and that he could have the officers transferred out of the district. Mr. Freeman had a visitor's pass to IAD in his possession, which suggests the department had called him in for a nice talk. Mr. Freeman has since been locked up again, for getting into a fight at a nightclub.

Another passenger in the van in the Cabrini incident was Adam Sanders. He has since been jailed for stealing a truck. After he crashed the truck, he got out and fought police officers, so he faces multiple charges of aggravated battery, too. In an act of great creativity, Mr. Sanders is suing the department, claiming false arrest in retaliation for the famous April 17, 2003 incident — as if the police slid a stolen truck under his body.

There were other unsung developments in the case. While the police had their hands on the Cabrini incident van, they ran a search for a 'trap'. This is a hidden compartment built into a vehicle for storing weapons, drugs, etc. They are hard to find, because it may take a sophisticated sequence to open one — like turning on the air conditioning full blast while tuning the radio to a specific frequency. In fact, there was a trap in the van, but it was empty. In Chicago it is a misdemeanor to have a trap in your car, but by the time police found it, the case had blown up so big the top brass probably figured they'd be accused of planting the trap in the car if they publicised it. No charges were filed.

In February, a Chicago paper ran another scathing article about police 'racism'. It was a collage of stories, but the two main ones were the

Cabrini incident and one about a Chicago firefighter who allegedly said "nigger" over the radio. The point was to taint all the stories with the 'N word', and a creative newspaper artist can easily link stories like this to "200 years of oppression and slavery." By then, Chicago had a new police superintendent, a white man named Phil Cline, and this was too much for him. Two weeks after the story, on March 2, 2004, he suspended Officers Vander Mey and Groh without pay. The department had officially named their scapegoats.

The internal, administrative investigation had gone nowhere because there was so little to build a case on, but when there is enough media pressure prosecutors can build a case against just about anyone. On June 3, 2004, the Cook County DA charged Mr. Vander Mey with four counts of "official misconduct by a police officer," alleging that he assaulted the 'victim', Rondell Freeman, while he was handcuffed.

This was more than even the media had bargained for, and the papers noted that it was "unprecedented" for an officer to face criminal charges in an incident that produced no injuries. However, when a society is rotting, the latest outrage is always "unprecedented." When the media kick up a fuss and race is involved, the "unprecedented" should never be a surprise. In any case, the accusations are preposterous. Observers say no officer struck Rondell Freeman after he was handcuffed.

(Actually, there is nothing in the use-of-force protocol that says an officer can't strike a man after he has been cuffed. You may be in cuffs and on the ground, but if you are kicking officers, you are fair game for wrist locks, arm holds, baton strikes, and punches. If you have an officer's neck in a leg lock, he has grounds even to shoot you. Most officers probably wouldn't, but they have to make split-second decisions, and a mistake can be fatal.)

Pacifying Blacks

In any case, the main purpose of the indictment is to pacify Blacks and maybe even ensure the re-election of State's Attorney Dick Devine. The rumour among police officers is that the word to charge Officer Vander May came straight from Mr. Devine himself. Rumour also has it that his subordinates warned against bringing such a weak case.

There are other signs there is nothing to this case. Despite all the media hype, Jesse Jackson, who lives right in Chicago, hasn't moved a muscle. Johnnie Cochran's main office is in Chicago, but he has been quiet too. You can be sure he would be all over this case if he smelled money.

Even the DA knows he has a lousy case. Several times, he has offered to let Mr. Vander

Mey plead to misdemeanor battery of the lightest kind — it would carry no jail time, and would be expunged from his record if he kept out of trouble. Mr. Vander Mey has refused to plea-bargain, partly on principle, partly for practical reasons. He is convinced he is being railroaded simply for doing his job and has nothing to apologise for. Also, even a no-jail-time, no-record misdemeanor, which would not be a handicap for most people, would be a big problem for a police officer. It would be grounds for dismissal, and he wants to stay with the force.

The fact remains that Officers Vander May and Groh have been suspended without pay since March 2, 2004. They are not even at the non-emergency call centre. Mr. Vander May's police administrative hearing has been put off until after his criminal trial, and *that* could drag out for another year. No trial date has been set. Mr. Groh — likewise on leave without pay — is still subject to administrative hearing, but his seems to have been put on hold, so he is in limbo.

In the meantime, these two officers are off the payroll. An indicted cop has a hard time finding work in his usual line of business, but Mr. Vander Mey managed to scrape up a part-time job. With charges pending he can't carry a weapon — not a pleasant situation for an officer who has put away a lot of Chicago criminals and who is now famous.

Doing duty a 'crime'

Now, for the crime of leaving the station to help officers in need, he faces four counts of felony "official misconduct." He doesn't have many options. For a Chicago cop, a jury trial is suicide, so he will go before a judge. Many jurisdictions allow a case that has already been prejudged in the press to be relocated to a different jurisdiction, as was done with the cops who arrested Rodney King. This has never happened in Cook County.

Needless to say, cases like this send police morale straight to the bottom. If a man can face jail time for doing his job, it makes cops hesitate when they are on the street, and that can put their lives at risk. Even many of the bosses are disgusted. Officers Vander Mey and Groh join a long list of cops who have been thrown to the dogs because they did their jobs, and the media blew it up into a racial incident.

The politicians and police brass will do anything to avoid controversy, especially if there is the slightest whiff of anything that could be called 'racism'. It is easier to sacrifice the little guys than do what's right. Politicians stare at the crystal ball and try to predict how the public will act. For the men on the force, it is a question of guts; and they think the politicians don't have any. And that is why you get the levels of crime, and contempt for the police from Blacks that you see all over this country.

Carl Sandburg famously called Chicago the "hog butcher of the world." The stockyards moved out long ago, but the city continues to live up to its name. Blacks still like to call police "pigs," and any officer who takes his job seriously knows that every assignment could be his turn to be run through the meat grinder.

Mr. Henderson is a former police officer who lives in Crystal Lake, Illinois.

HOW NOT TO DO IT

The Uprising (by Colin Jordan). Available at £6.00 (or \$10.00) in cash from NS Publications, PO Box 188, Ottawa Lake, MI 49267, U.S.A.

MANY of our readers will know of Colin Jordan. He has had a long history of involvement in the politics of racial nationalism, and today, at 81 years of age, is still fighting. That, and his unwavering consistency of political belief, are to be respected.

I know Colin Jordan quite well personally, and have never doubted the absolute sincerity of his convictions — a commodity not to be undervalued. At the same time, having once been a political collaborator of his, I have found myself moving increasingly further away from him in terms of our views on political policy, strategy and tactics.

Mr. Jordan has rendered us all a service in his lucid writings exposing the fraudulence of modern 'democracy' — a system under which illusions about the 'people's choice' mask the reality of dictatorship by finance and media. However, what he has consistently and ever more intransigently failed to realise is that the British electoral system, where this country is concerned, is "the only show in town." That is, it offers those of racial nationalist convictions the only practical vehicle for getting anything done. Any talk of other vehicles belongs to fantasy. Unhappily, Mr. Jordan fails completely to grasp this.

He has now put his ideas on these matters into a work of fiction, titled *The Uprising*, a book of just over 100 pages about a group known as the 'British Freedom Force', which eschews parliamentary methods in preference for terrorism. *The Uprising* chronicles a series of daring acts of sabotage and 'executions' by the BFF whereby the country is increasingly destabilised until the day when everything collapses completely and the BFF, by some process painfully short on explanations, is called upon to form a government and thereafter proceeds putting Britain to rights.

The story begins with the assassination of a particularly despicable and treasonous Home Secretary, for which deed the assassin heroically sets fire to himself to avoid inevitable capture. It then goes on to relate attacks on premises targeted for their function in the enforcement of government policies of multi-racialism, and the setting up of a pirate radio which explains the purpose of these actions.

And then the process of fomenting breakdown extends. TV and power stations are immobilised. Computers in government offices are put out of action. A visiting prime minister from Israel is blown up. A bomb goes off in the House of Commons. Finally, the moment of the seizure of power is triumphantly announced. End of story.

All this is very entertaining but as a recipe for action in the real world of

British politics it amounts to pure surrealism. Mr. Jordan has managed to live in this country for eight decades, seemingly without ever coming to understand it. This is not a Latin American or African banana republic; it is a highly developed European nation with no tradition whatever of armed insurrections — unless we are going back to the England of Oliver Cromwell. The British people of today simply are not made of the material that makes violent revolutions possible, and the apparatus at the disposal of the state to put such revolutions down is secure and sophisticated probably beyond even any of our imaginations. The one certain outcome of any political strategy of the kind Mr. Jordan recommends is that our jails will become even more overcrowded than they already are.

Both in this book and in various articles for years previously, Colin Jordan has poured cold water on the idea of mass movements and the seeking of power by the ballot box, and proposed instead the formation of a skilled and highly motivated élite, which at times he has referred to as the 'Vanguard'; and it is this élite which constitutes the 'British Freedom Force' in *The Uprising*. But he has never offered the slightest practical suggestion as to how such an élite is to be recruited. Just where are its members coming from? By what process are they to be sifted out from the ordinary massed ranks of nationalists, good, bad and mediocre? The most obvious response to such questions is that a nationalist movement of some size and strength would have to exist before that were possible, and this means very obviously a movement built up through engagement in peaceful and lawful politics of the very kind which Mr. Jordan derides. All this, of course, supposes a hypothetical acceptance of the 'Vanguard' concept, which I for one dismiss out of hand.

In addition to this, Mr. Jordan totally fails to recognise an essential precondition of any revolutionary movement employing extra-legal methods, and that is the existence of a much larger lawful and *political* movement with a widespread public following, thus able to create a national consensus of support for revolutionary acts, and out of whose membership succour for the revolutionaries is available when needed. Republican Ireland is a readily available example of this, though there are many more.

In conclusion, may I say of *The Uprising* that if the reader seeks relaxation in self-indulgent dreams it is a fair read. But if he or she is looking for guidance in practical ways to remedy the manifest wrongs in modern Britain Mr. Jordan's prescribed path needs to be avoided like the plague.

JOHN TYNDALL

Read Spearhead on the Internet!

You can obtain the best articles from *Spearhead* by visiting the *Spearhead* website at: www.spearhead-uk.com

SOME of my best friends are UKIP members. Well, not strictly true: I know one or two, but not closely. However, that will do for an introduction to this article – the point being that nothing written here is intended in any spirit of hostility to the vast majority of members and supporters of the United Kingdom Independence Party, whose intentions are no doubt thoroughly patriotic, if a little naïvely so. As always when dealing with parties in critical terms, the target figures are those at the top who determine policy and strategy. That will be the case in what follows here.

It is an interesting fact that UKIP, as I shall call it henceforth for reasons of brevity, was founded in 1993 at just about the time that the British National Party won its first council seat in East London's Millwall. This latter event sent shock waves through the British establishment. The Millwall victory was achieved by a campaign mainly concentrated on immigration, but the BNP's stance on Europe, ever since the party's foundation in 1982, had been clear to anyone who cared to enquire: we wanted out. It took the UKIP people eleven more years before they decided to form a party to fight for the same thing. What were they doing all that time? Maybe many of them were actively opposing the EU in any one of the myriad of non-party organisations that had been founded for that purpose, but the fact is that no initiative had been taken by any of these people to form a proper political party to bring the issue to the voters at election time.

Stole BNP's clothes


The honourable thing would have been for those people who had come to recognition of the need for a political party to campaign against the EU to join and support the BNP, which had been doing just that for over a decade. But no: they decided to steal our clothes and form their own party, and in doing so split the anti-EU forces at the polls.

Of course, there will be a ready answer to this charge. The people forming UKIP did not want to be tainted by connection with a load of 'racists'. The BNP was 'racist'. It would be much cleverer; so they obviously reasoned, to avoid issues of race and immigration and fight against European Union on a 'non-racist' ticket. Tremendous efforts were made to underline UKIP's 'non-racist' credentials, including a reminder of them at the bottom of every leaflet and the parading of a few ethnic minority members as candidates. But none of this achieved very much. Until the Euro Elections of 1999, and for most of the

Unrest in the UKIP camp

time afterwards until 2004, the 'racist' BNP performed consistently better than UKIP at the polls.

There never was any logic in a party like UKIP purporting to fight for national freedom and sovereignty while being 'non-racist'. A nation is nothing without



its core racial component. If, say by the year 2050, the ethnic minorities have grown to a size at which they become the majority in this country (as some statisticians have predicted), there will no longer be such a nation as Britain as we know it. And if there is no such nation, what is the point of bothering about freedom and sovereignty? We will have disappeared anyway, so that the question of whether the new mongrel Britain was governed from Brussels or Westminster would become academic.

But of course this absence of logic was not lost on everyone in UKIP. Many in that party – perhaps the majority – knew full well the imperative importance of the race issue. But they chose, as a matter of tactics, not to talk about it publicly, and in the meantime to pretend that they "abhorred racism." Here the reasoning was that it would be a short cut to mass support.

But when this 'non-racist' stance was adopted by the UKIP leaders they failed to read in the tea-leaves the coming winds of public opinion. Through a combination of riots, rising crime and festering unrest over New Labour's open-door asylum policy, the race issue has burst its way to the very forefront of public concern; and what party has benefited most at the polls from that rising popular anger? Why, the party that has maintained a consistent and unapologetic stand against immigration and multi-racialism from the beginning: the BNP! It was in a belated realisation of this that the UKIP spin-doctors began not long ago to revise the party's policy of not mentioning immigration. A very watered-down proposal for immigration limits was brought out in the recent Euro Elections, rather coyly disguised in talk about recovering from the EU

"control of our borders." But of course, the familiar prattle about it all being 'non-racist' was nervously added. UKIP leaders lost no opportunity to slag off for its 'racism' the very party that had brought the immigration issue to the front of national debate and thus made it safe for them to talk about it, albeit in their much diluted tones.

The picture we have here is a constant one of the BNP leading and UKIP following – while always taking care to disown and dissociate itself from the source of its guidance.

The golden rule for UKIP has always been the rule of 'softly-softly': appeal to the weaker instincts in the population because, the reasoning goes, that is the best way to obtain mass support. The assumption is that the British of today are such a gutless crowd of people that they will allow their nation to be sunk without a trace rather than support politics distinguished by honesty and robustness, so that everything presented to them must be dressed up in such cotton wool that they will not be able to find an excuse for rejecting it.

This has always been a seductive formula for getting ahead politically, but there never has been any real evidence that it works better; and in the meantime those who adopt it can often pay an extremely high price. Like tends to attract like, and parties whose approach is weak and flabby run the risk of recruiting many members who turn out to be totally useless when they find themselves in a game that can become tough and nasty.

1999 boost

In the Euro Elections of 1999 UKIP managed to get three MEPs elected. This was undoubtedly a boost of the party. Of course, in elections where Europe was not just the number-one issue but in fact the *sole* issue, and where consequently the entire national focus was on Britain's relationship with the European Union, a party like UKIP was bound to campaign at a big advantage in relation to the BNP; and so it proved. The BNP won no seats, but it did learn some valuable lessons – lessons which should have guided future strategy but were in fact later ignored.

But what did these three Euro seats avail UKIP? It still remained on the margins of national politics in Britain,

regularly being outperformed at the polls by the BNP where other issues, such as race and immigration, came to the fore. And that was not all. Within less than a year, UKIP was almost torn apart by internal quarrelling. One factor in this was that the 'liberals' whom the party's soft approach had attracted became quite hysterical about the alleged presence of so-called 'racists' in the ranks. Accusations and counter-accusations were hurled back and forth. There were mass resignations and even a short-lived splinter group took off. And of course no doubt the state security services, which had been alerted to possible dangers in the party by its successful bid for seats in Europe, had their people planted within who were briefed to fan the flames of division at every opportunity (there is in fact a theory that UKIP was started by the state security services in order to draw support away from the BNP, but this will probably for ever be unprovable).

Big money pours in

Not too much more was heard of UKIP until the 2004 Euro Elections loomed into prospect. It was then that the party started to receive a lot of generous media publicity, together with considerable new infusions of money. The warning signs should then have been read that UKIP was getting a build-up which would enable it to upstage the BNP, just as it had done five years earlier, and vastly reduce the latter's chance of getting MEPs elected; but, as stated earlier, these were ignored.

It transpired that Mr. Paul Sykes, a self-made multi-millionaire, had invested hugely in UKIP's 2004 Euro campaign. A very large and powerful UKIP presence began to manifest itself everywhere. A bandwagon was obviously on the roll!

Now enter Mr. Robert Kilroy-Silk, a failed one-time Labour politician, big on charisma and with an ego to match, who was looking for a cause that would propel him back into the limelight. As a Labour MP of little distinction over a good number of years, Kilroy had never said or done anything to suggest even moderate leanings towards nationalism. On the contrary, he had always been ready to join the 'anti-racist' chorus of his party colleagues whenever such issues presented themselves. He had not been a supporter of the EU but neither had he ever shown any particular strength or passion in opposing it. He later quit politics and hosted his own TV chat show, which made him a lot of money until he was fired by the BBC for a less than complimentary article about Arabs, written in the heat of the war in



KILROY-SILK AT CONFERENCE
By calling for the killing off of the Tories he split the party

Iraq.

Just whether Kilroy first approached UKIP or UKIP first approached him is open to some argument, but it is clear that the party saw him as a very welcome recruit who would give it a much higher personal profile than previously. It seemed to matter little that RK-S would only be interested in joining up if he saw the party as a vehicle for his own self-aggrandisement. He was gladly taken on board.

Dangers with celebrities

In an extremely perceptive article in *Right Now!* magazine in August headed 'Kilroy, Europe and the Limelight', Edward Dutton warned of the dangers to political parties of using celebrities as short-term expedients to court mass-popularity, saying of Kilroy's joining the UKIP camp:-

"We cannot yet know how Robert Kilroy-Silk will behave but his past might not make us particularly optimistic. Clearly, Kilroy is a show-man and self-promotor. Even his closest friends remarked upon this – and his lack of political principle – in an interview in *The Guardian* ('Smooth Operator', 8 June 2004). If Kilroy is not in the limelight, he will simply move to where it is. If he was really interested in politics, he might have stuck out being a Labour MP in the 1980s."

These words might shortly afterwards have been seen as prophetic. After getting 12 MEPs elected in June, UKIP seemed on the crest of a wave. Yet it was soon in the throes of two massive internal quarrels, both interlinked. It quickly

became evident that Kilroy would be satisfied with nothing less than being party boss – though he had contributed absolutely nothing to the hard work of the previous decade in which the foundations of UKIP had been laid. He made a claim that earlier he had been promised the leadership by the incumbent, Roger Knapman; but now, after the successes in the recent Euro Elections, Knapman no longer seemed so keen on stepping aside. In a statement which betrayed his contempt for his chief – probably matched by his contempt for just about everyone else – Kilroy spoke of Knapman getting a massive election result and went on to say that "probably he liked the size of his new train set." With such party comrades, who needs enemies?!!!

Kilroy's big gaffe

But that was not enough. At the ensuing UKIP party conference in Bristol Kilroy, perhaps assuming he had the right to speak for the party as its leader already, announced: "We must kill the Tories." In any other context that would have been a perfectly reasonable thing to say, for how can Britain be saved other than by the Tories being eliminated? But this caused enormous offence in a party in which many still considered it to be no more than a Conservative ginger group. Among those offended was the man who had done more than anyone else to make UKIP's massive Euro challenge possible. Mr. Sykes went off in a huff and quickly declared that he was going back to support the Tories under Michael Howard. Kilroy had committed the gaffe of the new century. The goose that laid the golden egg had been shooed away!

From all this, it may be seen that UKIP, notwithstanding its recent rapid rise to prominence, is a party built on shaky foundations. It is dangerously dependent on a tiny number of big financial benefactors. Its members cannot really agree on whether it is a party at all. And in its desperate quest for cheaply-won public glamour it has welcomed a wolf into its camp who looks like splitting it right down the middle if he doesn't get his way.

We in the BNP have our internal problems, but right now I wouldn't swap them for those of UKIP. Not for all the money in the world!

Will UKIP even survive to be around this time next year? Yes, it most certainly will because it is essential to the globalist establishment that it keeps going in some form or other. And to those who do not understand what is meant by this, one can only say that it is time, politically speaking, for them to go back to school.

KNOWING OUR RACIAL HISTORY

Norman Britain and later: the British race today.

This is the last in a series of three short articles to show the basic racial make-up of the British people. I might be termed both English and British but, to be truthful, I do not regard myself as English; I am British and I am Aryan. My ethnic make-up is like those of so many other 'Englishmen' (or 'Scots'). I am about a third English, the rest of my blood being inherited from Scotland and Ireland, with just a bit of Belgian in there too! The left-liberals love using 'facts' such as these to 'prove' the British are a mongrel people; but we are not, as I have stated before. However, because of recent developments we are now in grave danger of becoming a *truly* mixed-race people. The ZOG powers that direct us are hell-bent on making us into a mixed-race society, with all its attendant troubles.

The Norman invasion of 1066 saw the last great admixture of White blood into the British make up. William the First, himself of Norse lineage, came and swept away the power of the Saxons after the terrible defeat at Hastings. For a century or so, Norman lords ruled the land and the Saxon was very much the underdog. Normans were given lands in England, Scotland and Wales, and the basic Scottish aristocracy was Norman. A good example of this was of course Robert the Bruce, a Scottish hero, who was basically a Norman lord.

In time, the Anglo-Saxon, Dane, Norman and Celt slowly blended into that most wonderful of the things: the *true* Briton. Later immigrants, up to the early 1950s, were all of European origin but not significant in numbers. We might mention in passing the arrival of the French Huguenots who fled to England in sizeable numbers due to religious persecution in France. They added a rich set of craft skills to our already inventive nation.

Before the beginning of mass Third World immigration in the 1950s, the only other significant arrivals were Eastern Europeans from Poland and other countries – again Aryans, in this case mostly Slavs. The only non-Aryan group to arrive in large numbers (around 1900), were the Jews, who fleeing alleged persecution in Russia and Poland, came in quite large numbers into Western Europe, and especially Britain. The Jewish community in Britain – unlike, say, the Dutch Huguenots, have significantly stayed unassimilated and are still a distinct and separate racial grouping within the main body.

Irish, Poles and Italians came to Britain in the 20th century in fairly large numbers, but because of their very similar cultural and racial heritage they blended easily and without much fuss into the British people. However, since the passing of the 1948 British Nationality Act – a piece of legislation

which granted British citizenship for the first time to unnumbered millions of immigrants of non-European descent, the racial make up of Britain has altered dramatically.

Negroes and Asians now form a fair percentage of the population, and miscegenation – the mixing of races far different from each other – is proceeding at an alarming rate: alarming, that is, to anybody who believes that racial separateness and the upholding of our folk values are important. To the liberal chattering classes, miscegenation is the highest virtue. A white woman marrying a Black is considered by liberals to be the highest social achievement.

Results of race-mixing

A word about racial mixing throughout history. Looking at every past civilisation where it has occurred, what do we find? Taking Egypt, Greece and Rome as examples, we can make these observations:-

Egypt, the epitome of ancient civilisation, was originally settled by Aryan tribes moving down in waves from the Sumerian region. The first identifiable Pharaoh, Menes, is thought to be of Sumerian origin. The taking of non-Egyptian wives from Aryan nations outside of Egypt such as Persia and Media was common, the famous queen Nefertiti being a good example. To see how Egyptian civilisation declined to the point where we find it today in modern Egypt, which is not far removed from a Third World country, I once studied the mummies and sarcophagi on display in the British Museum. The earliest mummies have reddish to fair hair and distinctive European features. The coffins are ornate and well crafted. The later dynasties, especially those of the Nubian Pharaohs, produce sarcophagi and artefacts of a much debased quality. Infusions of Aryans from invasion such as the 'Sea Peoples' and the Macedonians, bolstered the upper levels of Egyptian society, but in the land as a whole, the mass of Arabic and black immigrants merging into Egyptian society destroyed the Aryan make-up of the country, and the Egypt we see today – a land static and stuck in an ancient past – is the direct result of miscegenation.

I could say the same of Rome, although in the case of Italy, the invasions of Goths, Normans, Burgundians and other Germanic

WILLIAM THE
CONQUEROR
Of Norse origins



Part three of a three-part article

peoples infused the nation with enough new Aryan blood to replenish the culture into the Renaissance period and beyond.

This series is written to give readers the basic facts about how we as Britons are made up, and to warn of the dangers of losing that make-up. Hating the potential destruction of our race is one thing. Hating other races simply because they are different is however quite another thing. Racialism is about recognition of the differences between

EDDY MORRISON
says we need to
understand where
we come from to
understand who
we are

racism, not about hate. Racial hatred is quite infantile, and the real perpetrators of racial hatred are those multi-racialists who would force unwilling and unassimilable peoples together, causing friction and grief for all races. Racial separateness and a fair policy of repatriation will cause, not racial hatred, but true racial harmony, with each race following its own destiny.

I have been brought to task for using the term 'Aryan' as a racial one. It has been pointed out that this is a linguistic term, and (by another critic) that the Aryans were just one small part of the White Race. I have to differ on this. The languages spoken by *all* the White peoples of the earth are Indo-European, not Aryan, which *is* a racial term and not a linguistic one.

The genesis

The Aryans were the genesis of all the white peoples. It would take another series of articles to clarify this point but it is important. The Romans referred to Germany (Germania to them) as the 'Venus Genetrix' – the womb of nations because it continued in an unending stream to pour out seemingly new waves of Teutonic peoples. This is how we must view the South Caucasus area of ancient times. It too was the 'Venus Genetrix' of the Aryan peoples, producing wave after wave – many by different names and whom we have met over this series of articles – Keltoi, Prithani (the name from which Briton is derived), Saxons, Vikings, Normans and many many more. The fact to grasp is that basically they are all the same closely related peoples, and we white British of today are their heirs and descendants. Be proud of your racial heritage and guard it at all costs!

PRIME MINISTER John Howard has won his fourth general election, and in will in late December will almost certainly become Australia's second longest-serving prime minister. The Liberal and National Party coalition, which he leads, a coalition more liberal than conservative, has enjoyed a swing of about one and a half per cent, and may even have wrested back control of the Senate, Australia's upper house or house of review. The Australian Labour Party parliamentary leader, Mark Latham, new to the job, has campaigned hard but gained little satisfaction.

What does this all mean for Australia?

In the short term, it is surely good news rather than bad. This is because Latham had promised an intense push towards a republic, including plebiscites that do not conform with the spirit or letter of the Constitution. Monarchists (still a substantial segment of the population) and some others saw this as endangering the stability the nation has enjoyed since Federation in 1901. The Australian Monarchist League, led by the dynamic Philip Benwell, campaigned very strongly on this issue.

It was galling for some of us to have to vote for a man who had led us into what we perceive to be a monstrously unjust war in Iraq, which appears to have served Israeli and big business interests. However, we had no conviction that an ALP government would in the future have been any less obsequious to those interests. Indeed, Latham had a big pow-wow with important Jewish leaders only a fortnight or so before the elections; and it all looked very chummy.

Within the coalition ranks, the most important individual victory was that of banking magnate Malcolm Turnbull in the blue-ribbon seat of Wentworth (NSW), where with 42 per cent of the primary vote he thrashed the former holder of the seat, Peter King, who stood in indignation as an Independent (he was previously the Liberal member) but received only 18 per cent.

Turnbull led the push for a republic during the 1999 Constitutional Referendum (he was National Chairman of the Australian Republican Movement); and some of us remember vividly his spiteful and contemptuous remarks about Mr. Howard after the monarchists won the contest.

WHO NEXT AFTER HOWARD?


Long-term, the question of who will succeed Mr. Howard as coalition parliamentary leader when he retires is now very worrying for those of traditionalist and nationalist views. To date, the heir apparent has been the Treasurer, Mr. Peter Costello, a very able politician though a declared republican, who on some issues is more liberal and less traditionalist than the PM, and who recently spoke strongly in favour (in

Short-term joy; long-term concern

Election report from Australia, by NIGEL JACKSON

effect) of "old-time religion" and the moral values associated with it. Costello, who appears to have gone out of his way on recent occasions to court Jewish favour and denounce 'racism', has little appeal for this writer.

New South Wales Liberal Tony Abbott, a monarchist and conservative Catholic, certainly has more to attract me; but he does not seem to have the stature to defeat Costello. Abbott's role in helping to bring about the prosecution against



Premier Howard at the moment of victory

Pauline Hanson which resulted in her imprisonment (later quashed) also raises questions about his position towards traditional political viewpoints of the conservative and nationalist kind.

What is particularly unpalatable is the thought of a future Costello-Turnbull – or Turnbull-Costello – leadership team. It would then become very difficult for monarchists to campaign in a future constitutional referendum designed to introduce a republic. Such a team might also bring in stricter 'anti-racist' legislation, the real purpose of which would

be to silence potentially hostile nationalist political movements.

These elections have also seen the demise of former nationalist leaders Pauline Hanson (who got nowhere near a quota in the Queensland Senate election) and Graeme Campbell (who could only win 11 per cent of votes in the lower-house seat of Kalgoorlie in Western Australia). Each of them stood as independents. Their former parties, One Nation and Australia First, can be regarded as finished. Another long-term concern is the absence of any viable movement to represent this side of politics. That is where we desperately need something new – a miracle, if you like.

GREENS MAIN PARTY OF LEFT

In the realm of minor parties, the Greens have clearly taken over from the Democrats as the primary option for left-wing radicals and 'progressives' who feel distaste for their main party, the ALP. Some Green policies on conservation would have considerable national support, but other policies, including those relating to drug issues and sexual morality, are widely disliked. As a result, a new party has sprung into prominence, the Family First Party, which appears to represent "old-time religion" and its associated morality. This group polled well for a first election effort and may just possibly sneak the sixth and last Victorian Senate seat. It is too early to say much about this new force. From my point of view, their theology is out of date, their morality too puritan and the source of their funding a matter for question. Their preferences played a role in the overall swing to the coalition, and their particular target was the Greens.

Judging by the newspaper coverage, the "powers behind the scenes" favoured the return of Mr. Howard. They would not, however, I believe, have been unable to profit from a Latham victory.


The next federal elections, due in late 2007, will be far more critical for Australians. Traditionalists and nationalists need to forge a new movement to ensure that tradition has an adequate voice – and arm – in our national politics after that date. Can we put aside all pettiness, forge a viable and comprehensive political platform, and find the men to lead?

Nigel Jackson is a Melbourne writer and teacher

Spearhead Group

The Spearhead Group is an independent non-party organisation dedicated to the defence and promotion of *genuine racial nationalism* in Britain and opposition to any liberalising or 'watering down' tendencies in the nationalist movement. Part of its function is to promote the circulation of *Spearhead* magazine.

The SG does not have a formal membership, with subscriptions, cards, etc. Those interested in helping in its work should make contact by writing to PO Box 36, Armley, Leeds LS12 2YE. The SG's website is at: <www.rwb.org.uk> Its free weekly online bulletin can be received every Monday by e-mailing: <nationalist.week@ntlworld.com>



SIR: I was appalled to read in last month's 'Madhouse Britain' that the Royal Air Force was attempting to recruit at the Manchester 'Gay Pride' Festival. Madness indeed!

I joined the RAF as a relatively inexperienced seventeen-year-old and was billeted with men up to their mid-forties. Youngsters at that age were (and are) particularly vulnerable to the attentions of older men or women. Fortunately we had a measure of protection in those days because homosexual acts were treated as crimes (as they still should be), and quite rightly resulted in the court-martial of anyone caught indulging in such disgusting behaviour.

There are two additional reasons why recruiting pervers into the armed forces is sheer folly. Firstly, because it will operate as a disincentive to decent and normal young people who want to make the forces a career; secondly, it raises the question of where the pervers are to be accommodated. Service people often have to live in close proximity to one another. They are unlikely to relish living with pederasts and lesbians. The only solution would be to house the queers separately.

While it may be all right for pervers to be tolerated by the establishment in such hotbeds of immorality as the Palace of Westminster, it is quite unacceptable in our armed forces.

RONALD RICKCORD
Newport Pagnell, Bucks.

SIR: At a time when the global powers of the New World Order are cohering and their sycophantic boobs and 'intellectual' parrots in the political hatches and media sewage conduits squawk encouragingly and admiringly at everything they are doing, does it not behove the racial nationalist parties, groups and suchlike organisations to coalesce together in order the better to wage the war in which we are pitted against those who would destroy our race and nation?

To squabble among ourselves over issues which are not vital to the pursuit of the greater goal, and to blind ourselves to the glaringly obvious fact that our enemy is repeatedly infiltrating and subverting our ranks, even to the point of taking over the running of parties, is to condemn ourselves to a continuation of impotence: we become more ineffectual as the country is destroyed, the aliens in our midst proliferate and mongrelisation gathers momentum.

B.J. CLIFTON
Dolgellau, Gwynedd

SIR: One gets very tired of hearing the claim that Asians and Afro-Caribbeans fought for Britain in two world wars, so have a right to live here. They may have fought *with* this country but not *for* this country. In fighting, they were motivated not by British patriotism but by self-interest, mainly mercenary. The fact that I help defend my neighbour's property against burglars doesn't give me the right to claim shared ownership.
FRANK KIMBAL JOHNSON
Louth, Lincs.

SIR: The Labour Government is seriously proposing to exclude members of the BNP from the Civil Service, and is studying ideas for legislation suitable for this purpose.

The true nature and purposes of the leading politicians and their helpers have long been known to discerning observers. This latest move is in keeping with their move towards the World Soviet State.

Communism never died; it shed one of its manifestations to facilitate a merger with its Menshevik branches in the Christian world of the West. Marxism is triumphing by its usual methods of deception, infiltration and lies. Now the mask is slipping as the Government becomes well aware of the increasing resentment of Britons over what is being done to their nation.

P. LISLE
Southport, Lancs.

SIR: I am sick to death of being told that we must "make the world safe for democracy."

Democracy isn't a system in which one just has a vote every four or five years as to who shall govern us. It is one in which the electorate has a say on every really important matter affecting the country, and the governing body has to take notice of its views.

What we and every 'democratic' country have today is an oligarchy: government by a very small number of powerful people.

Athens and a few small city states had true democracy. Since the time of Ancient Greece nowhere has there been a real democracy.

L. PETTIFER
Snargate, Romney Marsh, Kent

SIR: Whose decision was it to select a Jewish candidate to stand for the BNP? Who chose to parade in the party paper a candidate who was proud of his black son-in-law? Who wanted to select a candidate who had three half-black children by three separate black fathers? Who threw to the television wolves a raw member of the young BNP, who gave the viewers entirely the wrong image of the party?

Were these things just the result of folly – or did they amount to something worse? Your readers have heard the evidence. Now they must reach a verdict.

N.G. CHARNLEY
Blackpool, Lancs.

SIR: At the moment I am 49, unemployed and on a full-time course to gain City and Guilds qualifications in English and Maths on

a government scheme with a company called Action 4 Unemployment.

On the 9th of October a fellow student was on the computer and logged onto a website for 'Nazi' parties. He found that the company had put a block on any such parties. I asked him to see if the BNP had also been blocked. It had.

I made the comment that this cannot be right, as the BNP is a registered political party. The tutor overheard and went mad about it. The IT manager came over and said he had put the block on the BNP because he thought it was the most offensive party in Britain. Any complaints I had about this should go to the top manager.

Next day I was sent for by the top manager and told that if I mentioned the BNP again I would be out!

The company is ruled by 'Equal Opportunities' rules, the Sex Discrimination Act and the Race Relations Act.

I was wondering whether they themselves are infringing my civil rights.
J.D. REDFERN
Scunthorpe, Lincs.

(They certainly seem to be, but we should understand that 'civil rights' are only for minorities and left-wingers, not for ordinary British people. ED.)

SIR: Supporters of the Government defend its attempt to ban fox-hunting on grounds that the sport is cruel to animals, but these people will not say a word about the barbaric practices of ritual slaughter as allowed under the *Shechita* and *Halal* customs. Whatever one thinks of hunting, surely it can't be right to ban one form of 'animal cruelty' and allow far worse ones. Or perhaps 'ethnics' can do just as they like in this country!

I also wondered about the police treatment of the pro-hunt protesters in London. Were the guardians of the law as violent towards the Asian rioters in northern towns back in 2001? No, they seemed to be standing back and allowing them to do as they liked, with very few arrests. Why?
S. WHITELEY
Manchester

SIR: So Mr. Kilroy-Silk wants to destroy the Tories, does he? Why just the Tories – who, harmful to Britain though they are, are less so than Labour?

It seems amazing that K-S, in his new-found party UKIP, is not out to destroy Labour or the LibDems. I wonder if it is because, like so many UKIP people (as I found when I ran Leicester UKIP ten years ago) the folk in those parties are nice, progressive, multi-cultural believers who just happen to dislike the EU. Comments please.
MIKE ROBINSON
Sharnford, Leics.

SIR: We hear of dumbing down in the schools, but has anyone been studying the TV adverts lately? They seem designed to appeal to a population of morons.
P. SQUIRES (Mrs.)
Bristol

One world: the hard way!

In 1968 the inhabitants of Diego Garcia were 'relocated' to other islands in the Indian Ocean. In Nazi death ships? Well no, not exactly. Actually it was all organised by the then Labour Prime Minister, Harold Wilson – the same genius who could not wait to see prosperous Rhodesia transformed into the hell-hole now known Zimbabwe! And, as a result of a series of clandestine deals (Wilson's favourite *modus operandi*), the island is now a United States military base. For years, these people – we mean the exiled islanders – lived mostly in Mauritius in slum conditions with no hope of returning to their homeland, until somebody had a bright idea. Why not let them move to Crawley in West Sussex? Hundreds came over in 2002 and another 3,000 are poised to join them shortly. The town's Labour MP, Laura Moffat, said: "I have been involved with the Diego Garcian issue from the start and have been sympathetic to their situation." County councillors are, needless to say, horrified and have no idea where the resources to manage this influx can be found. Of course they will be found; not by the US Navy or the Labour Party, but by us!

Is the chase over?

We have long been perplexed by the numerical inequality to be observed at the hunt. Dozens of baying hounds and mounted humans in pursuit of one lonely Reynard hardly accords with the decent fair play commended to us in our schooldays. Nor are we particularly persuaded by the contention that New Labour's ban on hunting with dogs constitutes yet another serious infringement of human rights. After all, during the post-war years we have seen our right to govern ourselves abandoned, without consultation, in treaties such as *Maastricht*, while the right to say what we genuinely think and believe has been abolished by legislation allegedly concerned with 'race relations'. In that sad scenario the loss of a 'sport' enjoyed by a tiny minority looks to be a fairly insignificant nail in the coffin of Britain's national freedom. Weighing it all up, we

THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

Some observations on contemporary society
by JUNIUS

would tend to come out on the side of our engagingly resourceful, semi-urban, close neighbours. And yet we discern something vindictive and small-minded in this measure, which has much more to do with Blair trying to keep his bunch of truculent misfits in order than with any genuine concern for the welfare of the fox.

Homes and habitat threatened

We wonder whether October was perhaps 'nature month' because we must now address the problem of a disastrous invasion of parrot species previously unknown in our countryside. An estimated population of 20,000 ring-necked parakeets are firmly established in the South-east, and the number could soon surge to 100,000 or more. The RSPB reckons that these birds will drive out native species such as owls and lesser-spotted woodpeckers, which use the same kinds of tree holes for nesting. Nightingales and kingfishers are also in jeopardy. Elsewhere, the alexandrine parakeet is thriving in Merseyside while the monk parakeet is testing the climate in both Devon and Hertfordshire. Overall, parrot numbers are increasing by 30 per cent every year, prompting calls for an early extermination of the aliens. In fact, a report in the Government's hands recommends that a new agency should be specifically charged with the task of 'ethnic cleansing' of the feathered friends. For once, we are at loss for words!

We can do it – together!

When we grow weary of incessant prattle about 'modernisation', it can be instructive to pass an hour or so looking back at "the way things were" in saner times. In a letter dated November 2nd 1824 addressed to his lifelong

friend, landscape artist John Constable, Dr. J. Fisher, Rector of Langham and afterwards Bishop of Salisbury, quotes from *The History of the Caracci*: "When all languishes in a state of mediocrity, or is deformed by false taste, then some fortunate genius has the glory of restoring another golden age of invention." Constable himself could be scathing in his comments: "Should there be a National Gallery (which is talked of), there will be an end of the art in poor old England." He was a committed craftsman, who worked hard to gain his just recognition, and a dedicated family man, whose artistry gave us a faithful portrayal of the beauties of our landscape and rustic life.

Back now to our 21st century and our 'modern' art, which has provided a veritable breeding ground for daubers, squigglers and muck merchants. A mysterious and fortuitous storage depot fire last May consumed millions of pounds' worth of their obscene junk; a useful start, but what we really need is a massive bonfire blazing merrily away over months, maybe years! When you recognise garbage, have the guts to name it. Remember that *they*, the trash peddlers, are the extremists.

Eurowatch: reversing the flow...

Amsterdam: The Government has set itself a target: the deportation of 26,000 asylum-seekers, some of whom have been in the Netherlands for ten years. As an inducement, those who leave voluntarily will get some cash.

Berne: Of the 20,000 who applied for asylum in Switzerland last year, most have lost their benefits and have been ordered to leave. In one canton those yet remaining are housed under the Alps in a former military camp and get tokens worth £3.40 a day to buy food and essentials at their underground shop. If they

overstep a 2 km. radius from their bunker they go to jail.

Copenhagen: Our Danish cousins claim to have the toughest immigration laws. "Only 35 per cent of immigrants have ever had a job," says one MP. If a Dane under 24 marries a non-EU citizen then the couple can forget about living in Denmark.

Rome: With the tiny island of Lampedusa packed to bursting point with 1,300 Libyan migrants, Italy has passed a new law providing for *instant* repatriation. They are taken off their rickety boats and flown straight back to Libya.

... and talking Turkey

Berlin: A very different story here, where Chancellor Schröder is bent on further enlargement (eastwards!) of the European Union. Does he feel that the 3 million Turks already settled in Germany are not enough? Needless to say, Tony Blair is passionately keen on the idea of further expansion and has intellectual support from none other than George W. Bush, who recently pronounced: "Including Turkey would expose the clash of civilisations as a passing myth of history." Now gosh, that really makes sense doesn't it? But many European spokesmen are less than impressed, and draw attention to the fact that Turkey's gross domestic product is barely one quarter of the average in the EU, and to the certainty that the population of the proposed new entrant, which is 98 per cent Muslim, will be the largest in the Union by 2020. Moreover, with 18 per cent of Turks below the poverty line and 33 per cent of the workforce employed in agriculture, the annual cost to the rest of us is estimated at a probable £15.5 billions every year!

Easy money

On more than one occasion we have been assured that ringing a premium-rate telephone number at a cost of £6 will enable us to claim a prize of £5,000. Nice, but would it not be simpler to send us £4,994 in the first place! All joking aside, we hope our Nationalist leaders realise how many fraudsters they will have to put behind bars at the dawn of Britain's glorious new era. NB, behind bars means hard labour; not snooker, table tennis, football and heroin with TV in the evenings.

SPEARHEAD BOOKS

PO Box 247 I, Hove,
East Sussex BN3 4DT

A.K. Chesterton Memorial Archive, The. £14.45.

Three of AKC's booklets produced during the 1950s which throw much light on Britain's situation today. A.K. Chesterton's clear exposition of the malevolent malaise affecting Britain, and the solutions required to correct the situation, can be regarded as being the seminal and guiding influence of the National Front, and later, when it was formed, the BNP.

Alien (The General League of Anti-Communist Associations, 1933) £7.00.

The true story of the alien domination of Weimar Germany 1919-1932. Introduction by Dr. E.R. Fields.

Ancient Eugenics (Allen G. Roper, BA.) £3.50.

How our forefathers maintained the quality of the race.

.... And the Truth Shall Set You Free (David Icke) £15.95. Although ridiculed and misquoted by the media, David Icke says much with which nationalists will agree.

Animal Welfare in Germany (Kerry Bolton) £3.95.

An impartial look at some pioneer legislation which was quickly repealed by the allies after World War II.

Anti-Semitism and the Babylonian Connection (Des Griffin) £9.75.

An investigation into this fascinating and yet vexing question.

Aryan Portraits (Wolfgang Willrich) £5.50. Forty portrait studies of Aryan racial types by this famous wartime artist.

Barnes Review, The (sample copy) £5.50.

A well produced US revisionist history magazine covering a range of topics from ancient to modern.

Bell Curve, The (Richard J. Herrnstein and Charles Murray) £19.95.

Breaks new ground and old taboos! Describes the deliberate creation of a new underclass with racial connotations.

Beyond Tears (Catherine Buckle) £14.95. The tragic story of the post-colonial history of Rhodesia, with the country's reversion to barbarism, famine and horror.

Blackshirts on Sea (J.A. Booker) £19.50. A pictorial record of the British Union pre-war summer camps, with comprehensive text.

Blowing the Whistle (Paul van Buitenen) £12.99. One man's fight against fraud within the European Commission.

By Way of Deception (Victor Ostrovsky) £11.00. A former Mossad (Israeli intelligence Service) agent defects and tells his amazing story.

Captain McKilliam Memorial Archive (Captain K.R. McKilliam) £10.00. Four booklets of particular interest to Christians and students of conspiracies.

Captive State (George Monbiot) £15.95. The corporate take over of Britain today is revealed.

Case for David Irving, The (Nigel Jackson) £13.75. An exposure of the selective censorship of history and freedom of speech which proceeds at our peril.

The prices given here include postal charges for dispatch within the British Isles. For postage overseas please enquire before ordering.

Payments should be made to 'Spearhead Books' and sent to the above address.

Spearhead Books handles works by numerous and varied independent authors. It should not need stating that the publisher of Spearhead may not necessarily endorse all the contents of these works.

Church and Usury, The (Rev. Patrick Cleary) £13.75.

Although not much mentioned by Christians today, the sin of usury is still at the root of many of the world's problems.

Churchill: The End of Glory (John Charmley) £33.55.

A political biography showing the actual results of Sir Winston's premiership. Hardback.

Churchill's War Vol. I (David Irving) £25.00.

The first book in a two-part set. This book changes the way World War II is viewed, forever.

Churchill's War Vol. II (David Irving) £25.00.

The history of the middle and later years of World War II.

Codreanu and the Iron Guard (Keith Thompson) £3.90.

The inspiring and tragic story of Rumania's nationalist movement.

Confessions of Kurt Gerstein (Henri Roques) £8.95.

Many claims regarding the 'Holocaust' are based on the evidence of this man. This book takes the evidence to pieces and exposes the unreliability of everything he said.

Conquest Through Immigration (George W. Robnett) £13.00.

How Zionism turned Palestine into a Jewish state.

Cost of a Reputation (Ian Mitchell) £17.95.

About the libel case of Aldington versus Tolstoy and operation Keelhaul.

Creed of Iron (Ron McVan) £9.50.

The 14-Word Press endeavours to bring Wotanist art, history, poetry, wisdom and inspiration to a wider audience.

Deadlier than the H-Bomb (Wing Cdr. Leonard Young) £8.50.

An exposure of sovietisation by stealth.

Death of a City (Michael McLaughlin) £3.50.

The tragic story of the destruction of Hamburg by wartime bombing and the resulting firestorm.

Deceived, Damned and Defiant (David Lane) £14.25.

Sentenced to 190 years incarceration, Mr. Lane is one of the best known white political prisoners in the world today. His revolutionary writings are presented with many beautiful illustrations. Undaunted by his plight, unshakeable in his convictions, David Lane has emerged as an insurgent icon of a turbulent age.

Destruction of Dresden, The (David Irving) £25.00

1995 hardback edition. The pitiful story of the annihilation of a city and its people.

Diamond in the Dust (by political colleagues) £10.00.

100pp plus 4pp colour. An appreciation of Ian Stuart, his life and work.

Did Six Million Really Die? (Richard Harwood) £5.00.

Controversial and yet good news for all alleged victims and students of the 'Holocaust'.

Distortion (Lt. Col. 'Jack' Mohr, US Army). £3.95

An explanation of Christian doctrine and its relation to the war against Satanism.

Do we Need Mass Immigration? (Anthony Browne) £6.00.

All the reasons why immigration by the teeming masses of the Third World is bad for Britain.

Empire of the City (E.C. Knuth) £11.25.

An examination of the role of the international élite, based in the city of London, in advancing globalisation.

En Route to Global Occupation (Gary H. Kah) £12.75.

A high-ranking government liaison officer exposes the secret agenda for world unification.

Enemy of Europe (Francis Parker Yockey and Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £10.75.

Given here is the view of the 'European Situation' by the man who was hailed by many people as America's greatest post-war philosopher. Comment and analyses by Prof. Revilo P. Oliver, who has written many incisive works on current racial and social problems.

English Heroic Legends (Kathleen Herbert) £9.95.

The authoress's encyclopaedic knowledge of the period is reflected in the wealth of detail she brings to these tales of adventure, passion, bloodshed and magic.

Evil Good Men Do, The (Jeffrey Hamm) £10.00.

About decisions by governments that have led to the ruin of Britain.

Falsehood in Wartime (Arthur Ponsonby MP) £10.00.

Propaganda lies of the First World War exposed.

Fatima and the Great Conspiracy (Deirdre Manifold) £10.75.

An attempt to explain the meaning and truth behind the vision.

Final Judgement (Michael Collins Piper) £20.00.

A best-seller from the USA. Piper makes a convincing case to prove that the Mossad, the feared Israeli intelligence service, was involved in the assassination of J.F. Kennedy.

Flash Point (Ingrid Weckert) £15.95.

The aftermath of 'Kristallnacht' 1938 had far reaching consequences which in turn contributed to the Second World War. This book looks at instigators, victims and beneficiaries. Some of the conclusions shatter the orthodox view of contemporary teaching.

Gift of Ramu, The (Alexander Baron) £3.00.

This fascinating novel tells of a wonderful gift to mankind and its consequences for the 'money power'.

Good Wagner Opera Guide, The (Denis Foreman) £12.50.

A good and informative layman's guide, in spite of the author's prejudices.



Grip of Death (Michael Rowbottom) £17.95.

A lucid account of how virtually all the money in the economy has been created as debt to the banks.

Gruesome Harvest (Ralph Franklin Keeling) £11.95.

How Allied policy-makers treated their defeated foe. A compelling story of 'victors' justice'.

Hall of Mirrors (David Sinclair) £18.99. About



the Treaty of Versailles and the injustices within it that made the Second World War inevitable.

History and Biology (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £1.30. Traces the history of mankind and its eventual fate if some form of eugenics is not brought into population management.

History of World Revolution, The (The Duke of Northumberland) £4.50. The author was also the publisher of *The Patriot* weekly newspaper, and he employed as his chief writer Nesta Webster, the famous conspiracy theorist.


Hitler: Bungling Amateur or Military Genius (A.V. Schaefferberg) £6.50. The writer challenges conventional wisdom concerning Hitler, and argues that he showed greater military ability than many of the German General Staff.

Holocaust Affirmers (Alexander Baron) £10.75. A hard look at the people pushing the 'Holocaust' stories.

Holocaust, The: 120 Questions Answered (Charles Weber) £2.00. Fact and fable examined and questioned.

Holocaust Industry, The (Norman G. Finkelstein) £18.00. Reflections upon the exploitation of Jewish suffering – real or imagined.

How it was Done (Steven Smith) £5.00. The inspiring story of the rise of the Burnley BNP from almost nothing to national renown. The secret of the branch's dramatic electoral success and many other tips on how to build a local organisation, from the man who pioneered this outstanding achievement. 75pp.



Hunter (Andrew McDonald) £9.50. An exciting novel about a young man who rebels against race-mixing and saves his folk from extinction.

I Saw Poland Betrayed (A.B. Lane) £7.00. Exposes the truth of the terrible tragedy of Poland.

Innocent at Dachau (Joseph Halow) £16.95. An eye-witness account by a court reporter.

Jewish Supremacism (David Duke) £18.00 A detailed study of how the future of the world lies in the hands of this enigmatic people.

John Hargrave and the Greenshirts (Kerry Bolton) £2.75. About the history and development of the militant arm of the Social Credit movement in Britain.

Legacy of John Lennon, The (David A. Noebel) £10.00. About the charming or harming of a generation.

Liars Ought to Have Good Memories (Alexander Baron) £4.95. An accurate exposure of the workings of the Communist Jewish lie factory otherwise known as *Searchlight* magazine.

Lightning and the Sun, The (Savitri Devi) £8.95. Three figures from history compared: Akhnaton, Genghis Khan and Adolf Hitler.

Lincoln's Negro Policy (Ernest Sevier Cox) £4.95. Contrary to what is currently believed, President Lincoln planned repatriation for America's Blacks.

Looking for the Lost Gods of England (Kathleen Herbert) £4.95. The tales, beliefs and traditions of ancient times are still with us and are able

to stir our minds and imaginations.

Lord of the Rings (J.R.R. Tolkien) £16.75. A novel based on British folklore describing a mythical world and the battle between good and evil.

Macpherson Report, The (Dr. Frank Ellis) £4.50. About the hysteria from the legal establishment over the breakdown of the multi-racial society.

Mau Mau Oaths (A.K. Chesterton) £3.30. Evidence of the unbelievably barbaric and inhuman initiation ceremonies of this gang of so-called 'Freedom Fighters'.

Mel Gibson and the Pharisees (Ed. Kerry Bolton) £4.50. The tribulations of a producer who tried to make a film (*The Passion*) with an accurate portrayal of the death of Christ.

Might is Right (Ragnar Redbeard) £9.95. Many people believe that the original author may have been Jack London, but this new work with additional commentary and notes emphasise the main thesis, that struggle and courage will be required to save our folk from destruction.

Money! Questions and Answers (Father Charles E. Coughlin) £10.75. The famous inter-war American 'Radio Priest' explains why money should be the servant of the people and not its master.

Murder (Frank Schmidt) £2.75. The dreadful story of the almost complete extermination of the Swabian and Danube Germans after the Second World War.

Music in the Third Reich (A.V. Schaefferberg) £2.50. Fascinating history of blossoming talent.

My Awakening (David Duke) £24.00. One of the finest books to deal with issues which are of concern to white people everywhere.

Other Concentration Camps (Jeffrey Hamm) £2.50. Born in South Wales and arrested in the Falkland Islands in 1940, the author was interned without charge or trial. This is the story.

Out of Step (Arnold Leese) £3.95. The life story of one of Britain's foremost experts on the Jewish question.

Plagiarism and the Culture War (Theodore Papas) £14.95. The writings of Martin Luther King Jr. and other prominent Americans.

Race Books (H.B. Isherwood) £4.00. Four books entitled *Man's Racial Nature*; *Race and Kinship*; *Race and Politics* and *Religion and the Racial Controversy*.

Race Warriors (Russell Lewis) £11.95. The perverse results of anti-racism.

Recovery (Kerry Bolton) £2.30. The story of Gottfried Feder and how Germany broke out of the economic recession of the early 1930s.

Rising Tide of Colour, The (Lothrop Stoddard) £7.25. The growing demographic threat to white survival. A very relevant warning for the present generation.

Rudolf Report, The (Germar Rudolf) £20.00. A scientific examination of the 'gas chambers' of Auschwitz and related issues. 450 pages.


Secret Societies and Subversive Movements (Nesta Webster) £17.95. Argues the case for suspecting a secret directing influence behind most major political convulsions.

Settlers in Eastern and Central Africa (Capt. Kenneth McKilliam) £3.90. A first-hand view by a colonial education officer of how white rule was

undermined by British politicians, to the detriment of the native Africans.

Silent Spring (Rachel Carson) £7.00. This was one of the first popular mainstream books to awaken many people to the importance of environmental issues.

Stalin's War of Extermination 1941-45. (Joachim Hoffman) £30.00. This book details the German Army's desperate attempt to frustrate Soviet plans to subjugate Europe.



State Secrets (Compte Leon de Poncins) £16.95. A study of 20th Century power politics and the 'behind the scenes' influences that shape the course of events.

Struggle for World Power, The (George Knupffer) £9.95. About the bid for global control by the faceless men of the secret world-government.

Talmud Unmasked, The (Rev. I.B. Pranaitis) £11.00. This much talked-of Jewish book researched and translated as a useful tool in the understanding of modern Jewish aspirations.

Thug or a Million Murders (Col. James L. Sleeman) £12.50. The devotees of the cult of 'Thuggee' in India were murderers who believed they had the protection of the goddess Kali. The writer's grandfather was principally responsible for eliminating the cult and this book relates the story of his endeavours.

To Restrain the Red Horse (Alan D. Armstrong) £11.95. About the urgent need for radical economic reform.

Traditionalist's Anthology (Elizabeth Freeman) £6.00. The editor's choice is a key to a collection of gems so excellent in themselves that they "Make hungry where most (they) satisfy."

Warburg and the Kalergi Plan (Capt. A. Rogers OBE) £3.90. World Government through European Union and racial mixing have long been the dream of the powerful forces that control governments. Here this once senior officer in British military intelligence gives the result of his diligent research.

Wealth, Virtual Wealth and Debt (Frederick Soddy) £6.75. An explanation of how money can become the servant of mankind instead of its master.

Witness to History (Michael Walsh) £6.00. There are probably more facts and quotes regarding the Third Reich in this A4 magazine-size book than in any other publication.

World Conquerors, The (Louis Marschalko) £16.95. A great mine of historical detail that explains much of what brought European civilisation to death and destruction. A period that the author describes as "The Second Feast of Purim."

World Revolution (Nesta Webster) £9.50. This book is a detailed history of communist movements in the 19th and 20th centuries, edited and brought up to date by Anthony Gittens.

Zionist Terror Network, The (Institute for Historical Review) £5.75. The background and operation of the Jewish Defence League and other criminal Zionist groups in the USA are investigated and exposed.

COMING MEETINGS!

The three meetings advertised here, at which John Tyndall will be speaking, are not official BNP meetings. This is because the BNP leadership, in order to provide a pretext for continuing to shut Mr. Tyndall up, have suspended his membership pending the hearing of new 'disciplinary' charges against him (see pages 6-8). Nevertheless, these meetings are still intended to benefit the BNP cause, and all BNP members will be welcome to attend.

NORTH-WEST LONDON

Nationalist meeting

Sunday, November 7th, in the afternoon

Speakers include:-

Tess Culnane – Richard Edmonds – John Tyndall

For details of assembly point ring 07930 623672

LINCOLN

Saturday, November 27th, in the afternoon

Speakers include:-

Richard Edmonds – John Tyndall

For details of assembly point ring 07771 587121

Meeting organised by Albion Press

NORTH BUCKS

Sunday, November 28th, in the afternoon

Speakers include:-

Richard Edmonds – John Tyndall

For details of assembly point ring 07951 154151

Meeting organised by the Spearhead Group

Another good Dagenham result!

Coming shortly after the BNP's great win in Goresbrook ward of Dagenham in September, the party achieved an excellent result in Village ward of the same borough on October 7th. BNP candidate Lawrence Rustem won 938 votes in a three-cornered fight, forcing the Tories to the bottom of the poll, with Labour the winner with 1,085 votes. The BNP vote was 38.4 per cent of the poll.

FOR SALE: *Spearheads* and other nationalist literature from 1970 to 2000. Apply to Box 20, *Spearhead*.

APOLOGIES! Owing to a malfunction at our printer's, the address of US General David disappeared from the boxed item on page 15 last month. Anyone wishing to get in touch with the General can write to him at 20 Ancient Oak Court, Marietta, Georgia 30067, U.S.A.

The Eleventh Hour

The Eleventh Hour has been described as the 'Bible' of the British National Party. First published in 1988, the latest (1998) edition has been updated to take in the many new developments on the political scene occurring since. Written by the founder of the British National Party, John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains extensive analyses of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

The Eleventh Hour runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

Price: Hardback £25.00 plus £4.78 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.45 p&p. Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00 ☐; Overseas surface mail £27.00 ☐; Air mail Europe £29.20 ☐; Air mail elsewhere £35.60 ☐.


PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £5.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2.50 in the case of banknotes, these paying for banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

JOHN TYNDALL



The book you must read if you want to find out what John Tyndall and the BNP stand for

Spearhead Group meeting in Bradford, October 17th

"LET'S TAKE OUR PARTY BACK!"

SUNDAY, October 17th., saw about 90 nationalists packing into a room in the Green-gates area of Bradford to hear a battery of speakers in support of the Spearhead Group.

It was particularly significant to see so many Bradford BNP members at this meeting, held under the theme 'The Future: Toryism or Nationalism?' Other nationalists came over from Leeds, with a strong contingent from Lancashire too. A busy literature stall run by Tyr Services was backed up by a mid-meeting raffle with a stack of prizes (our thanks to the supporters who donated prizes, particularly Dave, Simon and Mark). Thanks also go to Sharon from Burnley BNP for organising the raffle. Particular praise also goes to Tony Braithwaite, SG National Chairman who so ably chaired the meeting.

After some very relevant comments from Tony Braithwaite the first speaker, Keith Axon, was introduced. Keith had come up from Birmingham with some supporters, and detailed his freezing out from the BNP by the Griffin cabal. Keith, who almost single-handedly built the BNP from nothing in the West Midlands, has been marginalised in his region on orders from the present party leadership and prevented from using his talents for the party – to its own great loss.

The next speaker was Ken Henderson, North-West Organiser of the BNP in the early 1990s and now, after being inactive for a while, back in the fight! Ken spoke from the heart, and in an impassioned speech called for John Tyndall to take over the BNP – which had become a party which welcomed many undesirable elements, including homosexuals – and to clean it up. Ken underlined his commitment to the BNP but said it was not today the party of which he had once been a proud member, and that he was staggered when he saw a Sikh leading an election broadcast and non-European candidates standing for council.

After a short interval, Tony introduced Peter Rushton, a firm SG Supporter and Deputy Editor of *Heritage and Destiny* magazine. Peter gave an amusing and lively speech and underlined very firmly the theme of the meeting. "Which way should the BNP go?" he asked, "the soft, populist Tory way – or the true way of White Nationalism?" Peter raised perhaps the biggest laugh of the afternoon when, referring to Nick Griffin's many ideological twists and turns in the past, he asked: where next? Will he take up Catholicism? In that case it wouldn't be long before he was plotting to overthrow the Pope!

The next speaker was Eddy Morrison, National Secretary of the Spearhead Group, who thanked all the other platform members and related how long he had worked with each of them. Eddy then listed the stages of the group's battle. After speaking of the importance of unity within the SG itself, he went on to talk about the imperative of retaking the BNP. When that was accom-



Ken Henderson speaks. Seated left to right are: Peter Rushton, Tony Braithwaite, Eddy Morrison and John Tyndall.

plished, there was the task of uniting *all* White Nationalists: BNP/NF/WNP/EFP/Freedom Party and the rest.

The fourth and final stage would be our lifting the lid on the seething cauldron that is the anger of the British people and putting ourselves at their head, leading them as a mass organisation to a final and overwhelming victory against the dark forces of Internationalism and multi-racialism.

The final speaker was the founder of the BNP, John Tyndall. JT again underlined the choice between the Tory way or the Nationalist way, and illustrated how the BNP was following along the long slippery road of Tory sell-out. The Tory Party started out on the liberalisation road, and where are the Tories now? Their compromising has led them into a state where they were indistinguishable from their fake opponents in New Labour; and at a time of deep Labour unpopularity the Tories were simply failing to capitalise and win electoral support.

In a silly piece of 'gesture' politics, a very small handful of Griffin supporters had walked out of the meeting just as Mr. Tyndall was about to speak. He said he had welcomed them to the meeting as he did all who wanted to debate openly and frankly. "We have nothing to hide, and we welcome free and clear argument about these issues," he

repeated. "If people have closed minds, how can we make them see the truth?" He likened those who would not listen to argument to the mythical Three Wise Monkeys – if they blinded and deafened themselves to the truth that was so apparent to them at this meeting, and would not even speak up in support of Nick Griffin's tenuous case, then what chance had they of learning how to do what is best for the BNP?

Mr. Tyndall said that because there were obviously deep divisions in the BNP on a number of matters, the only fair way to resolve them was to call a general members' meeting. Just purging dissenters would not make these issues go away.

John Tyndall then reaffirmed his total commitment to the fight to retake the BNP and set it back on the straight road, and this received thunderous applause!

Regrettably, a condition of the hire of the room was that the usual colourful flag display was not permitted.

Many new SG Supporters were signed up and many new contacts were made. Bradford on Sunday, October 17th, was another tremendous day for the forces of White Nationalism, and it was also heartening to see NF and WNP members there, listening in unity with the BNP members.

Report from Spearhead group

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND


Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover full production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent,

Also, because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle the magazine. Hence another need for additional financial support.


Please send all contributions to *Spearhead*, PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested – in which case an SAE would be appreciated. Thanks.

RECORDINGS – RECORDINGS – RECORDINGS

Video and audio tapes featuring John Tyndall and other speakers




Walsall North by-election meeting, September 1976. Speeches by Charles Parker, Martin Webster, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall. **Audio CD.**




John Tyndall addressing American patriots near Washington DC, 1991. With an introduction by Dr. William Pierce, founder of the National Alliance. **Audio CD.**




East London meeting, March 2003. Addressed by Richard Edmonds, Chris Roberts and John Tyndall. **Video.**




Slough meeting, 1977. John Tyndall speaking at a highly successful and memorable National Front meeting in Slough, Bucks, in 1977. **Audio CD.**




Ruislip meeting, February 2004. Great BNP meeting with speeches by Tess Culnane, Tony Wentworth, Peter Shaw and John Tyndall. **Video.**




National Front St. George's Day meeting, Wood Green, North London, April 1977. Speeches by Andrew Fountaine, Sqn. Ldr. John Harrison-Broadley, Martin Webster, Ron Davison, John Roberts and John Tyndall. Meeting followed march and riot. **Audio CD.**



Leicester and Islington National Front election meetings, 1979. JT speaking at two great rallies which red troublemakers tried to disrupt. **Audio CD.**



Cheshunt, December 2002. John Tyndall speaking at BNP gathering in Cheshunt, Herts. **Audio CD.**



John Tyndall live in New Orleans, May 2004. JT on cracking form addressing American patriots. **Audio CD.**



Spearhead Group meeting, Leeds, July 2004. Speeches by Tony Braithwaite, Peter Rushton, Eddy Morrison and John Tyndall. **Video.**

Tyr Services are pleased to present 10 items of recordings which should be of great interest to all nationalists. All items cost just £10.00 each, including postage and packing. Alternatively, you can choose any three items to order together for just £23.00. Please make cheques or postal orders out to TYR SERVICES and send to BCM Tyr, London WC1N 3XX. UK.